

Bilaga till rapport

Hormonbehandling vid könsdysfori - barn och unga/ Hormone treatment of children and adolescents with gender dysphoria, rapport 342 (2022)

Bilaga 3. Inkluderade studier Appendix 3. Characteristics of included studies: Extracted data

Innehåll

- Table 1. Effects on mental health by puberty suppression in adolescents
- Table 2. Effects on bone health by puberty suppression in adolescents
- Table 3. Effects on anthropometric measures and metabolism by puberty suppression in adolescents
- Table 4. Effects of cross-sex hormonal treatment started before age 18 without previous puberty suppression.
- Table 5. Studies investigating discontinuation of regret of treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria.

References in Appendix tables

Table 1. Effects on mental health by puberty suppression in adolescents

Author, Year (ref) Title	De Vries et al 2014 (1) Young Adult Psychological Outcome After Puberty Suppression and Gender Reassignment
Country Study design	The Netherlands Longitudinal cohort study, before-after 2008-2012
POPULATION (ages)	Age at assessment pre-treatment: Range 11.1–17.0 years
Age at start Age in cohort	13.6 years (SD 1.9)
Tanner stage	At start of puberty suppression:
Tarifici Stage	Range 11.5–18.5
	14.8 years (SD 1.8)
	At start of cross-sex hormones:
	Range 13.9–19.0 years
	16.7 years (SD 1.1)
POPULATION (n)	196 referred
n patients	111 prescribed puberty suppression
natal male (M-t-F)	15 non-participating
natal female (F-t-M)	1 death after vaginoplasty
	55 individuals evaluated:
	22 transwomen
	33 transmen
	40 complete data
INITEDVENIES:	15 missing data
(type)	Puberty suppression (GnRH) Cross-sex hormone treatment (CSHT)
Puberty suppression	Gender reassignment surgery:
(GnRH)	vaginoplasty, mastectomy, hysterectomy, ovariectomy, (phalloplasty)
Cross-sex hormone	Taginoplasty, mastectomy, hysterectomy, ovalication, (phanoplasty)
treatment (CSHT)	
INTERVENTION	GnRH duration: Not specified
(time)	CSHT duration: Not specified
Treatment duration	Age at Follow-up: at assessment Post-Treatment
Follow-up time,	Mean 20.7 years (SD 1.0)
Follow-up age	Range 19.5–22.8
OUTCOMES -	Gender Dysphoria Utrecht Gender Dysphoria Scale (UGDS)
Reported outcomes	Global functioning Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) Depressive symptoms: The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)
	Anger Spielberger's Trait Anger (TPI)
	Anxiety: Spielberger's Trait Anxiety (STAI)
	Body Image Scale (BIS)
	Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL)
RESULTS	Before start / During puberty suppression / After gender reassignment (mean (SD))
Extracted outcomes	
	Gender dysphoria (UGDS) Total F3 F1 (8.30) / F4 30 / 7.70) / 15 81 / 3.79)
	Total 53.51 (8.29) / 54.39 (7.70) / 15.81 (2.78) MtF 47.07 (11.05) / 48.95 (10.80) / 17.27 (2.57)
	FtM 56.74 (3.74) / 57.11 (3.40) / 15.08 (2.64)
	Global functioning (CGAS)
	Total 71.13 (10.46) / 74.81 (9.86) / 79.94 (11.56)
	MtF 74.33 (7.53) / 78.20 (9.56) / 82.40 (8.28)
	FtM 67.65 (11.87) / 70.65 (9.89) / 76.29 (14.48)
	Depression (BDI)
	Total 7.89 (7.52) / 4.10 (6.17) / 5.44 (8.40)
	MtF 4.73 (4.20) / 2.25 (3.54) / 3.38 (4.40)
	FtM 10.09 (8.34) / 5.05 (7.08) / 6.95 (9.83)
	Anxiety (STAI) Total 39.57 (10.53)/ 37.52 (9.87)/ 37.61 (10.39)
	MtF 31.87 (7.42)/ 31.71 (8.36)/ 35.83 (10.22)
	FtM 44.41 (9.06)/ 41.59 (9.03)/ 39.20 (10.53)
	Anger (TPI)
	Total 17.55 (5.72)/ 17.22 (5.61)/ 16.01 (5.28)
	MtF 14.17 (3.01)/ 14.00 (3.36)/ 5.58 (3.92)
	FtM 19.55 (5.96)/ 19.25 (5.69)/ 16.56 (6.06)
	1

Anathan Mann (m.C)	Control of all 2045 (2)
Author, Year (ref)	Costa et al 2015 (2)
Title	Psychological Support, Puberty Suppression, and Psychosocial Functioning in Adolescents with Gender
Constant	Dysphoria.
Country	The UK
Study design	Longitudinal cohort study, before-after, 2010-2014
POPULATION (ages)	Age at baseline:
Age at start	Range 12-17 years
Age in cohort	15.6 years (SD 1.7) natal male
Tanner stage	15.4 years (SD 1.2) natal female
	And at about at Capilly
	Age at start of GnRH:
	Range 13-17 years
	16.6 years (SD 1.22) natal male
DOD!!! 47:01: ()	16.4 years (SD 1.3) natal female
POPULATION (n)	436 referred [1: 1.7 natal male/natal female ratio]
n patients	235 did not complete diagnostic procedure
natal male (M-t-F)	201 completed diagnostic procedure [1: 1.6 natal male/natal female ratio]
natal female (F-t-M)	121 eligeable for puberty suppression
	80 not eligeable for puberty suppression after 6 months psychological support*
	101 Capil treated "Immediate clicible"
	101 GnRH treated "Immediate eligible":
	35 GnRH treated evaluated at end of study
	100 GnRH untreated "Delayed eligible":
INTERVENTION (type)	36 GnRH untreated evaluated at end of study GnRH: Drug, dose and treatment frequency not indicated.
	Start after 6 months of psychological assessment and support (mean 0.75 + 0.6 years),
Puberty suppression (GnRH)	referred as "diagnostic procedure".
Cross-sex hormone	Psychotherapeutic interventions: "Individual or family or group therapy, carried out on a regular basis
treatment (CSHT)	(at least one a month)"
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration:
Treatment duration	12 months
Follow-up time,	Psychological support:
Follow-up age	18 months total
Tollow-up age	Follow-up times:
	6 months, 12 months, 18 months
OUTCOMES –	UGDS
Reported outcomes	Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) [high score=better psychosocial functioning]
RESULTS	Psychosocial functioning:
Extracted outcomes	1 Syknosokai rantellollilig.
Extracted outcomes	Children's Global Assessment Scale score:
	All GD adolescents, during diagnostic procedure (n=201):
	57.7 (SD 12.3) at enrolment
	60.7 (SD 12.5) at enrollment 60.7 (SD 12.5) 6 months after psychological support only
	33. (32 22.3) 3 months after payanological support only
	GnRH treated group: (n= 101 at baseline)
	60.9 (SD 12.2) after 6 months psychological support only (n= 61)
	67.4 (SD 13.9) at 18 months psychological support + GnRHa (7-18 months) (n= 35)
	Delayed group: (n= 100 at baseline)
	60.3 after 6 months psychological support only
	62.5 after 18 months (n= 36)

Author, Year (ref)	Becker-Hebly et al 2020 (3)
Title	Psychosocial health in adolescents and young adults with gender dysphoria
Title	before and after gender-affirming medical interventions
Country	Germany
Study design	Retrospective cohort study, before-after 2013-2018
POPULATION (ages)	Age at baseline (intake):
Age at start	Minimum 11 years
Age in cohort	Mean 15.5 years (SD 1.2)
Tanner stage	Range 11.2 - 18.0 years
runner stage	Age at Follow-up:
	Mean 17.4 years (SD 1.7)
	Range 11.95 - 21.0 years
POPULATION (n)	434 adolescents
n patients	164 dropouts at baseline
natal male (M-t-F)	129 dropouts during follow-up
natal female (F-t-M)	
	75 evaluated:
	64 birth assigned female
	11 birth assigned male
	21 no hormone
	11 GnRH
	32 GnRH + CSHT
	11 CSHT + surgery (type not specified)
	Excluded severe psychiatric problems (psychosis, suicidality)
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: Drug, dose and treatment frequency not indicated.
Puberty suppression	CSHT: Drug, dose and treatment frequency not indicated.
(GnRH)	Groups:
Cross-sex hormone	No hormone treatment (no GnRH, no CSHT)
treatment (CSHT)	GnRH
	GnRH + CSHT
	CSHT + surgery
	(surgery type not specified, "mainly mastectomy")
	Psychotherapy (79%)
INTERVENTION (time)	Duration of GnRH or CSHT: not specified.
Treatment duration	
Follow-up time,	Possible range 7-49 months, "time since first referral"
Follow-up age	GnRH: minimum 7 months
	CSHT: up to 40 or 47 months
	Follow-up time:
	Mean 21.4 (SD 12.2) months
	Range 6 months - 4 years
OUTCOMES -	Psychological functioning:
Reported outcomes	Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS, clinician-rated)
	HR QoL (mental and physical dimensions): assessed by
	Kidscreen-27 (>18 years)
	SF-8 (<18 years)
	Youth Self Report (YSR, ages 11-18y)
	Adult version (ASR, >18y)

RESULTS

Extracted outcomes

Psychosocial functioning:

CGAS Global functioning Baseline/ Follow-up (mean (SD))

No medical treatment (diagnostics or psychosocial interventions) 68.10 (11.23) / 70.00 (12.25)

Puberty suppression (GnRH) 67.27 (11.91) / 81.82 (7.51)

GA hormones (GnRH and GAH) 73.13 (10.91) / 85.63 (9.14)

GA surgery (at least one operation and GAH) 66.36 (14.33) / 83.64 (8.09)

Health-related quality of life (mean ± SD)

Baseline T Mental dimension/T Physical dimension

No medical treatment (diagnostics of psychosocial interventions) 34.86 (6.27) / 37.51 (8.27)

Puberty suppression (GnRH) 39.04 (9.25) / 43.43 (8.61)

GA hormones (GAH and GnRH) 36.16 (6.78) / 39.12 (7.10)

GA surgery (at least one operation and GAH) 37.88 (6.53) / 39.88 (8.49)

Follow-up T Mental dimension/T Physical dimension

No medical treatment (diagnostics or psychosocial interventions) 36.37 (7.71) / 42.51 (10.40)

Puberty suppression (GnRH) 43.17 (10.20) / 49.57 (11.64)

GA hormones (GAH and GnRH) 42.07 (10.74) / 49.36 (9.81)

GA surgery (at least one operation and GAH) 43.44 (9.57) / 53.87 (6.15)

A	Control + 1 2020 (4)
Author, Year (ref)	Cantu et al 2020 (4)
Title	Changes in Anxiety and Depression from Intake to First Follow-Up Among Transgender Youth
Country	in a Pediatric Endocrinology Clinic
Study design	USA
DOD!!! 47:01:/	Retrospective cohort study chart review, before-after, 2017 - 2019
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start:
Age at start	Min 11 years
Age in cohort	Max 18 years
Tanner stage	And the reference
	Age in cohort:
DODLII ATION (~)	Mean 15.1 years (SD 1.8)
POPULATION (n)	80 15 female affirmed
n patients	
natal male (M-t-F)	58 male affirmed
natal female (F-t-M)	7 nonbinary
	In Fallow up cohorts
	In Follow-up cohort: 13 hormone blockers
	25 hormone treatment (HT)
	4 hormone blockers + HT
INITEDVENITION (+	38 no treatment Previous intervention:
INTERVENTION (type)	
Puberty suppression	Drug, dose and treatment frequency not indicated.
(GnRH) Cross-sex hormone	Harmona blackers only
	Hormone blockers only Hormone treatment (HT) only (feminizing; masculinizing)
treatment (CSHT)	Both hormone blockers and HT
	Neither hormone blockers nor HT
	Neither normone blockers nor mi
	Of 20 youth.
	Of 28 youth: 6 feminizing hormones
	22 masculinizing hormones
INTERVENTION (time)	Duration of GnRH or CSHT: Not specified.
Treatment duration	Duration of Girlf of CSFF. Not specified.
Follow-up time,	Time between initial visit and follow-up appointment:
Follow-up age	Mean 4.7 months
Tollow-up age	Range < 1 - 11 months
OUTCOMES –	Depression: assessed with PHQ-9 (Patient Health Questionnaire-9)
Reported outcomes	Anxiety: assessed with GAD-7 (Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7)
RESULTS	Psychosocial functioning:
Extracted outcomes	rsychosocial functioning.
Latitacted outcomes	Acute distress (not defined) Baseline/follow-up Mean (SD)
	Acute distress (not defined) baseline/follow up weari (30)
	PHQ-9
	HT initiated (n=28)
	9.8 (7.1)/ 10.3 (7.3)
	No HT (n=51)
	11.1 (6.3)/ 10.1 (5.9)
	11.1 (0.3)/ 10.1 (3.3)
	GAD-7
	HT initiated (n=27)
	8.4 (6.4)/ 8.5 (5.5)
	No HT (n=50)
	9.6 (5.9)/ 9.1 (5.8)
	5.5 (5.5), 5.2 (5.5)
	Suicidality
	"Of the 27 (34%) youth who endorsed suicidality at intake, 22 (81%) continued to endorse suicidality at
	their follow-up visit, and only 4 (4%) no longer endorsed suicidality at follow-up".
	Taken tollow up visit, and only + (+/0) no longer chaoised saliduality at lollow-up.

Author, Year (ref)	Carmichael et al 2021 (5)
Title	Short-term outcomes of pubertal suppression in a selected cohort of 12 to 15 year old young people with
Title	persistent gender dysphoria in the UK
Country	The UK
Study design	Prospective cohort, 2011 -2015
POPULATION (ages)	Age at consent (median, IQR):
Age at start	13.6 years (12.8 - 14.6)
Age in cohort	Range 12.0 - 15.3 years
Tanner stage	Natige 12.0 - 13.3 years
Talliler stage	At end of pathway (median, IQR):
	16.1 years (16.0 - 16.4)
	10.1 years (10.0 10.4)
POPULATION (n)	44 recruited:
n patients	25 birth registered males
natal male (M-t-F)	19 birth-registered females
natal female (F-t-M)	Tanner stage: (n (%), birth registered males, birth registered females):
,	Stage 2: 0, 0
	Stage 3: 17 (68%), 2 (10%)
	Stage 4: 5 (20%), 11 (58%)
	Stage 5: 3 (12%), 6 (32%)
	1 discontinued GnRH
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRHa: triptorelin
Puberty suppression	
(GnRH)	Psychosocial assessment and support:
Cross-sex hormone	Before entering the study for a median of 2.0 years (IQR 1.4 to 3.2; range 0.7 to 6.6 years). Continued
treatment (CSHT)	regular attendance for psychological support and therapy throughout the study was a precondition of
	GnRHa prescription. Local psychological services provided support for co-occurring difficulties as
	required.
	No interview conducted before young people started GnRHa
INTERVENTION (time)	Follow-up time:
Treatment duration	12 months follow-up (n=44), 24 months (n=24), 36 months (n=14)
Follow-up time,	Median time in study: 31 months (IQR 20 to 42, range 12 to 59 months).
Follow-up age	Age at end of pathway (IQR): 16.1 years (16.0, 16.4)
OUTCOMES -	Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) (parent report)
Reported outcomes	Youth Self Report (YSR)
	Kidscreen-52 questionnaire
	Body Image Scale (BIS) is
	Utrecht Gender Dysphoria Scale (UGDS)
	Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS)
	Semi-structured qualitative interviews.
	Participant experience and satisfaction with GnRHa
	No interview conducted before young people started GnRHa

RESULTS -CBCL Parent report, Total problems t-score: mean (95% CI): **Extracted outcomes** Baseline; 12 months, change; 24 months, change; 36 months, change 61.6 (58.4, 64.7); 61.8 (58.4, 65.1), 0.3 (-2.0, 2.6); 60.2 (54.6, 65.8), -1.0 (-4.0, 2.1); 61.1 (52.3, 69.9), -1.3 (-6.6, 4.0) CBCL Parent report, Self-harm: median (IQR): Baseline; 12 months; 24 months; 36 months 0 (0,1); 0 (0,1); 0 (0,1); 0 (0,1); 0 (0,1) YSR Self-report, Total problems t-score: mean (95% CI): Baseline; 12 months, change; 24 months, change 57.9 (55.0, 60.8); 58.4 (54.6, 62.2), 0.8 (-3.1, 4.8); 56.5 (50.6, 62.5), 1.5 (-3.4, 6.3) YSR Self-report, Self-harm: median (IQR): Baseline; 12 months; 24 months 0 (0,1); 0 (0,2); 0 (0,0) Kidscreen-52, HRQOL, Parent report, Psychological wellbeing, t-score, mean (95% CI) Baseline; 12 months; 24 months 43.0 (39.6, 46.4); 41.1 (37.0, 45.2); 51 (45.8, 56.2) Kidscreen-52, HRQOL, Self-report, Psychological wellbeing, t-score, mean (95% CI) Baseline; 12 months; 24 months 39.8 (36.7, 42.8); 39.0 (35.4, 42.6); 42.4 (36.9, 48) Body image scale, Overall score: mean (95% CI) Baseline; 12 months; 24 months; 36 months 3.1 (2.8, 3.3); 3.2 (3.0, 3.4); 3.0 (2.7, 3.2); 3.1 (2.4, 3.7) Utrecht Gender dysphoria score: median (IQR) Baseline; 12 months; 24 months 4.8 (4.6, 5.0); 4.7 (4.6, 5.0); 4.7 (4.3, 5.0)

CGAS global score, mean (95% CI) Baseline; 12 months; 24 months; 36 months

62.9 (59.6, 66.2); 64.1 (59.9, 68.3); 65.7 (59.6, 71.8); 66.0 (58.1, 73.9)

No changes from baseline to 12 or 24 months in CBCL or YSR total t-scores or for CBCL or YSR self-harm indices, nor for CBCL total t-score or self-harm index at 36 months.

Most participants reported positive or a mixture of positive and negative life changes on GnRHa.

Austhory M. / C	Tursh Common at al 2004 (C)
Author, Year (ref) Title	Hisle-Gorman et al 2021 (6) Mental Healthcare Utilization of Transgender Youth Before and After Affirming Treatment
ritte	Mental Healthcare Utilization of Transgender Youth Before and After Affirming Treatment
Country	USA
Study design	Retrospective cohort study (military healthcare data), 2010–2018
POPULATION (ages)	Age at Study Initiation: years (median (IQR))
Age at start	10 years (8–13) transgender
Age in cohort	9 years (4–14) siblings
Tanner stage	Age of First Affirming Medication (CSHT), years (median (IQR))
	18.2 years (16.6–19.8)
	Age at Study Completion, years (median (IQR))
	18 years (16–21) transgender 17 years (11–21) siblings
POPULATION (n)	3754 transgender
n patients	1193 (31.8%) male at birth
natal male (M-t-F)	2561 (68.2%) female at birth
natal female (F-t-M)	
	963 transgender adolescents receiving hormone treatment (before-after data)
	6603 cisgender siblings
INTERVENTION (type)	Hormone treatment (n=963)
Puberty suppression	Puberty Suppressant n=96 (7.2%)
(GnRH)	Masculinizing Hormone n=591 (61.4%)
Cross-sex hormone	Feminizing Hormone n=276 (28.7%)
treatment (CSHT)	Psychotropic medication n=857 (89%)
INTERVENTION (time)	Full study period:
Treatment duration	8.5 years in total follow-up time
Follow-up time,	Hormone treatment: Years followed (median (IQR))
Follow-up age	7.1 years (5.6–7.9) before HT
	1.5 years (0.7-2.7) after HT
RESULTS	
Reported outcomes	
Reported outcomes	
RESULTS	Mental health over full 8-year study period*:
Extracted outcomes	TGD adolescents compared to siblings were more likely to have a mental health diagnosis,
	be prescribed more psychotropic medications and use more mental healthcare services: Mental health diagnosis (n (%)):
	3352 (89.3%) transgender vs 3308 (50.1%) siblings; adjusted OR 5.45 (4.77–6.24)
	On psychotropics (n (%)):
	On psychotropics (n (%)): 2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days:
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year):
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days:
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period:
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI))
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period:
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77–6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77–6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98–3.65)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77–6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98–3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59–1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80–3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71–3.78)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71-3.78) Suicide 683 (18.2%) vs 162 (2.5%); aOR 7.45 (6.11-9.08)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71-3.78) Suicide 683 (18.2%) vs 162 (2.5%); aOR 7.45 (6.11-9.08)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71-3.78) Suicide 683 (18.2%) vs 162 (2.5%); aOR 7.45 (6.11-9.08)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71-3.78) Suicide 683 (18.2%) vs 162 (2.5%); aOR 7.45 (6.11-9.08)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77-6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98-3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59-1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80-3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71-3.78) Suicide 683 (18.2%) vs 162 (2.5%); aOR 7.45 (6.11-9.08)
	2820 (75.1%) transgender vs 2425 (37.7%) siblings Psychotropic medication days: All mental health meds (medications days per year): 111.4 transgender vs 42.5 siblings; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80) Mental health diagnoses at some point during the 8-year study period: Transgender vs Siblings (n (%); adjusted odds of mental health diagnosis* aOR (95% CI)) *after adjustment for age at study initiation, assigned sex at birth, parent rank, and number of outpatient visits per year, odds of having any mental health diagnosis: All Mental Health 3352 (89.3%) vs 3308 (50.1%); aOR 5.45 (4.77–6.24) Mood 2413 (64.3%) vs 1182 (18.9%); aOR 6.12 (5.51-6.8) Anxiety 1908 (50.8%) vs 1216 (18.4%); aOR 3.30 (2.98–3.65) ADHD 1119 (29.8%) vs 1229 (18.6%); aOR 1.77 (1.59–1.97) Adjustment 1687 (44.9%) vs 1191 (18.0%); aOR 1.09 (1.80–3.41) Psychotic 363 (9.7%) vs 104 (1.6%); aOR 5.38 (4.20-6.88) Personality disorders 86 (2.3%) vs 43 (0.7%); aOR 2.54 (1.71–3.78) Suicide 683 (18.2%) vs 162 (2.5%); aOR 7.45 (6.11–9.08)

*including antidepressants (wellbutrin, SSRI, SNRI, other antidepressant)

benzodiazepines, sleep medications, anti-psychotics, litium

Transgender vs Siblings (medication days per year):

All mental health medications:

1114 days vs 425 days; adjusted IRR 2.57 (2.36-2.80)

After hormone treatment:

(n=963 individuals-initiated puberty suppression or CSHT, median age 18.2 years):

Crude rate of medication days (number of days, Before - After hormone treatment))

All Mental Health Medications: (days)

119.7 before vs 211.5 after; aIRR 1.67 (1.46-1.91)

Psychotropic medication use:

increased from mean 120 days per year to mean 212 days per year

following gender affirming pharmaceutical care.

Medication days by type of medication:

(number of medication days: Before vs After hormone treatment):

Wellbutrin 6.3 before vs 16.2 after; aIRR 2.51 (2.71-3.69) SSRI 44.8 before vs 73.9 after; aIRR 1.72 (1.47-2.00) SNRI 4.7 before vs 14.0 after; aIRR 2.59 (1.52-4.38) other antidepressant 9.2 before vs 18.9 after; aIRR 1.61 (1.18-2.21) sleep medications 6.4 before vs 16.2 after; aIRR 2.23 (1.61-3.10) benzodiazepines 3.0 before vs 12.7 after; aIRR 3.01 (1.95-4.65) anti-psychotics 15.9 before vs 30.1 after; aIRR 1.77 (1.34-2.35) lithium 1.3 before vs 2.3 after; aIRR 1.11 (0.48-2.59) stimulants 26.4 before vs 25.1 after; aIRR 0.96 (0.72-1.26) migraine medications 1.5 before vs 2.2 after; aIRR 0.76 (0.37–1.53)

Author Voor (rof)	Stanbausius et al 2015 (7)
Author, Year (ref) Title	Staphorsius et al 2015 (7) Puberty suppression and executive functioning: An fMRI-study in adolescents with gender dysphoria
Title	Proberty suppression and executive functioning. An finite-study in adolescents with gender dysphona
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Functional MRI study, Cross-sectional, up to 2014
· · ·	
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start:
Age at start	Minimum 12 years, Tanner B2, Tanner G2-G3
Age in cohort	Age at GnRH start: Not indicated
Tanner stage	Age in cohort: (mean ± SD)
	Age at scan:
	15.1 years ± 2.4 M-t-F
	15.8 years ± 1.9 F-t-M
	Control group age:
	14.9 years ± 1.5 (boys)
	14.4 years ± 1.8 (girls)
POPULATION (n)	41 adolescents
n patients	22 F-t-M (natal females):
natal male (M-t-F)	(12 using GnRH, "suppressed FM")
natal female (F-t-M)	(10 untreated, "untreated FM")
	18 M-to-F (natal males):
	(8 using GnRH, "suppressed FM")
	(10 untreated, "untreated FM")
	Control group* (siblings, friends):
	24 girls (F)
	21 boys (M)
	10 not investigated due to brain scan problems
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: triptorelin (Decapeptyl-CR®) 3,75 mg/4w, s.c. or i.m
Puberty suppression	Study intervention: MRI scan (3.0 T)
(GnRH)	axial T2*-weighted whole-brain volumes sensitive to BOLD contrast, sagittal T1-weighted
Cross-sex hormone	Tasks in MRI:
treatment (CSHT)	1 executive function task: event-related parametric version of the Tower-of-London (ToL) task
	3 cognitive tasks: verbal fluency task, mental rotation task, face recognition task
INTERVENTION (time)	Puberty suppression duration (mean ± SD):
Treatment duration	1.6±1.0 years:
Follow-up time,	1.8 years ± 0.8 MtF
Follow-up age	1.4 years ± 1.1 FtM
OUTCOMES -	Executive function:
Reported outcomes	Tower-of-London (ToL) performance scores: reaction times, accuracy
	Region-of-interest (ROI) analyses: left DLPFC (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex), bilateral RLPFC
	(rostrolateral prefrontal cortex), precuneus
	Psychological functioning: Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL)
	IQ: Wechsler Intelligence Scales (WISC-III®, Wechsler, 1991; WAIS-III®, Wechsler, 1997)
RESULTS	Executive function: Functional task (ToL):
Extracted outcomes	Accuracy (%) mean ± SD)
Extracted outcomes	88.5 ± 6.8 boys (M); 87.2 ± 11.9 girls (F)
	79.1 ± 10.3 M-t-F (total)
	73.9 ± 9.1 suppressed; 83.4 ± 9.5 untreated
	87.1 ± 10.0 F-t-M (total)
	85.7 ± 10.5 suppressed; 88.8 ± 9.7 untreated
	Reaction time (sec) mean ± SD
	9.6 ± 2.5 boys (M); 9.0 ± 1.8 girls (F)
	10.4 ± 3.5 M-t-F (total)
	10.9 ± 4.1 suppressed; 9.9 ± 3.1 untreated
	$10.0 \pm 2.6 \text{ F-t-M (total)}$
	9.9 ± 3.1 suppressed; 10.0 ± 2.0 untreated
	9.9 ± 3.1 suppressed; 10.0 ± 2.0 untreated
	9.9 ± 3.1 suppressed ; 10.0 ± 2.0 untreated Psychological functioning: CBCL scores, mean ± SD
	Psychological functioning: CBCL scores, mean ± SD
	Psychological functioning: CBCL scores, mean ± SD 48.4 ± 10.5 boys (M); 48.4 ± 10.3 girls (F)
	Psychological functioning: CBCL scores, mean ± SD 48.4 ± 10.5 boys (M); 48.4 ± 10.3 girls (F) 57.8 ± 9.2 M-t-F (total)

 Table 2. Effects on bone health by puberty suppression in adolescents

Author, Year (ref)	Joseph et al 2019 (8)
Title	The effect of GnRH analogue treatment on bone mineral density in young adolescents with gender
	dysphoria: findings from a large national cohort
Country	UK
Study design	Retrospective review of national cohort, before-after, 2011–2016
POPULATION (ages)	Age at GnRH start:
Age at start	Range 12–14 years
Age in cohort	Range 12-14 years
	Ago in First year schort:
Tanner stage	Age in First year cohort:
	Age at treatment start: (mean (SD) 13.2 (1.4) trans girls
	12.6 (1.0) trans boys
	Age at 1 year scan:
	14.4 (1.5) trans girls
	13.8 (1.1) trans boys
	Age in Longitudinal cohort
	Age at treatment start:
	13.0 (1.1) trans girls
	12.9 (3.0) trans boys
	Age at 2 years scan:
	15.8 (1.3) trans girls
	15.6 (3.5) trans boys
POPULATION (n)	First year cohort:
n patients	70
natal male (M-t-F)	31 trans girls
natal female (F-t-M)	39 trans boys
	Longitudinal cohort:
	31
	10 trans girls
	21 trans boys
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH
Puberty suppression	Study intervention:
(GnRH)	DXA - dual energy X-ray absorptiometry
Cross-sex hormone	Z-scores [calculated from Crabtree et al. from ALPHABET study using UK norms for Caucasian subjects].
treatment (CSHT)	Hip BMAD
	Z-scores not calculated (no reference ranges available)
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration:
Treatment duration	1 year (1st year cohort)
Follow-up time,	2.8 years (longitudinal cohort)
Follow-up age	
	Follow-up time:
	1–2.8 years
OUTCOMES -	Bone health:
Reported outcomes	Hip (femoral neck) and lumbar spine (L1-L4):
	BMD - bone mineral density
	BMAD - bone mineral apparent density
	Z-score compared to natal sex (birth sex, age)
	Hip BMD g/cm ²
	Hip BMD Z score
	Spine BMD g/cm ²
	Spine BMD Z score
	Spine BMAD g/cm ³
	Spine BMAD Z score
	

RESULTS –

Extracted outcomes

Characteristics, mean (SD)

Baseline / 1 year

Trans girls (n=31/31)

Age, year 13.2 (1.4) / 14.4 (1.5) Height, cm 161.0 (8.0) / 163.7 (8.1) Weight, kg 64.7 (17.1) / 70.3 (21.2)

BMI, kg/m² 24.8 (5.3) / 26.1 (6.9)

Hip BMD, kg/m² 0.894 (0.118) / 0.905 (0.104) Hip Z-score 0.157 (0.905) / -0.340 (0.816) Spine BMD, kg/m² 0.860 (0.154) / 0.859 (0.129) Spine BMD Z-score -0.016 (1.106) / -0.461 (1.121) Spine BMAD, g/cm³ 0.235 (0.030) / 0.233 (0.029)

Spine BMAD Z-score 0.859 (0.154) / -0.228 (1.027)

Trans boys (n=39/39)

Age, years 12.6 (1.0) / 13.8 (1.1)
Height, cm 158.4 (9.5) / 163.3 (8.7)
Weight, kg 51.0 (13.7) / 56.2 (13.4)
BMI, kg/m² 20.1 (4.1) / 21.4 (5.4)
Hip BMD, kg/m² 0.772 (0.137) / 0.785 (0.120
Hip Z-score -0.863 (1.215) / -1.440 (1.075)
Spine BMD, kg/m² 0.694 (0.149) / 0.718 (0.124)
Spine Z-score -0.395 (1.428) / -1.276 (1.410)
Spine BMAD, g/cm³ 0.196 (0.035) / 0.201 (0.033)
Spine BMAD Z-score -0.186 (1.230) / -0.541 (1.396)

Baseline / 2.8 years

Trans girls (n=10/10)

Age, years 13.0 (1.1) / 15.8 (1.3)

Height, cm 160.3 (5.4) / 165.1 (5.7)Weight, kg 66.4 (14.6) / 82.9 (30.5)BMI, kg/m² 25.8 (5.3) / 30.5 (8.6)Hip BMD, kg/m² 0.920 (0.116) / 0.910 (0.125)Hip Z-score 0.45 (0.781) / -0.600 (1.059)Spine BMD, kg/m² 0.867 (0.141) / 0.878 (0.130)Spine BMD Z-score 0.130 (0.972) / 0.890 (1.075)Spine BMAD, g/cm³ 0.240 (0.027) / 0.240 (0.030)Spine BMAD Z-score 0.486 (0.809) / -0.279 (0.93)

Trans boys (n=21/21)

Age, years 12.9 (3.0) / 15.6 (3.5)

Height, cm 159.0 (35.8) / 168.7 (37.5)

Weight, kg 49.8 (17.1) / 59.5 (19.6)

BMI, kg/m² 19.4 (5.9) / 20.9 (6.6)

Hip BMD, kg/m² 0.766 (0.215) / 0.773 (0.197)

Hip Z-score -1.075 (1.145) / -1.779 (0.816)

Spine BMD, kg/m² 0.695 (0.220) / 0.731 (0.209)

Spine BMD Z-score -0.715 (1.406) / -2.000 (1.384)

Spine BMAD, g/cm3 0.195 (0.058) / 0.198 (0.05)

Spine BMAD Z-score -0.361 (1.439) / -0.913 (1.318)

Author, Year (ref)	Klink et al (9) 2015
Title	Bone mass in young adulthood following gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog treatment and cross-
Constant	sex hormone treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective longitudinal cohort study , before-after, 1998–2012
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of GnRH:
Age at start Age in cohort	Range 11.4–18.3 years Transwomen:
Tanner stage	Tanner G5
Tallici Stage	Mean: 14.9 years ± 1.9 SD
	Transmen:
	Tanner B4
	Mean: 15.0 years ± 2.0 SD
	At start of CSHT:
	Range 15.6–19 years
	Transwomen:
	Mean: 16.6 years ± 1.4 SD
	Transmen:
	Median: 16.4 years (2.3 IQR)
POPULATION (n)	34
n patients	15 MtF
natal male (M-t-F)	19 FtM
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: Triptorelin (Decapeptyl-CR): 3.75 mg/4 weeks s.c.
Puberty suppression	CSHT:
(GnRH)	17-estradiol p.o. (incremental dosing), dose not indicated.
Cross-sex hormone	Mixed testosterone esters i.m. 250 mg/ml/ 2–4 weeks (incremental dosages), dose not indicated.
treatment (CSHT)	Surgery: gonadectomy (min age 18 years)
	Chrish intermedian
	Study intervention: DXA (dual energy x-ray absorptiometry
	Lumbar spine (LS), Femoral region (FN)
	aBMD Z-scores according to natal sex, age, and ethnicity based on the National Health and Nutrition
	Examination Survey reference in Manitoba, Canada.
	LS Z scores available from start of the study.
	FN Z scores available in 2003, 5 years after the start of the study.
	Volumetric BMD (bone mineral apparent density (BMAD)) of the LS and FN calculated as previously
	described, Z scores determined using UK reference population.
	Reference values of BMAD in young adulthood are not available.
	In females lumbar peak bone mass (PBM) expressed as BMAD is attained at age 18–20 years and in
	males between 18 and 23 years (8). To calculate the Z score of the LS BMAD at age 22 years, the
	reference of LS BMAD of 17 years was used.
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration
Treatment duration	Median: 1.3 years natal boys, Range: 0.5–3.8 years
Follow-up time,	Median: 1.5 y natal girls, Range: 0.25–5.2 years
Follow-up age	CSHT duration Median F S years natal hove Range: 2.0. S O years
	Median: 5.8 years natal boys, Range: 3.0–8.0 years
	Median: 5.4 years natal girls, Range: 2.8–7.8 years GnRH + CSHT duration:
	Median: 3.1 years natal boys, Range: 2.1–4.5 years
	Median: 2.2 years natal girls, Range: 1.4–3.1 years
	After gonadectomy: GnRH terminated and CSHT continued.
	FU until age 22 years
OUTCOMES -	Bone health
Reported outcomes	Bone mineral density (BMD):
·	Bone mineral apparent density (BMAD)
	Areal BMD (aBMD, g/cm²) lumbar spine and femoral region:
	BMAD (g/cm³)
	BMAD Z-score
	aBMD (g/cm²)
	aBMD Z-score
	T-score
	Z-score relative natal sex

RESULTS -

Extracted outcomes

Start GnRH / Start CSH / Age 22 years (mean ± SD)

Transwomen

Height cm 174.6 8.9 / 179.9 / 181 ± 9.3

Lumbar spine

BMAD, g/cm³ $0.22 \pm 0.03 / 0.22 \pm 0.02 / 0.23 \pm 0.03$ BMAD Z score $-0.44 \pm 1.10 / -0.90 \pm 0.80 / -0.78 \pm 1.03$ aBMD, g/cm² $0.84 \pm 0.13 / 0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.93 \pm 0.10$ aBMD Z score $-0.77 \pm 0.89 / -1.01 \pm 0.98 / -1.36 \pm 0.83$ T-score at 22 years: -1.5 ± 1.10

Femoral neck

BMAD, g/cm³ $0.28 \pm 0.04 / 0.26 \pm 0.04 / 0.28 \pm 0.05$ BMAD Z score $-0.93 \pm 1.22 / -1.57 \pm 1.74 /$ aBMD, g/cm² $0.88 \pm 0.1 / 0.87 \pm 0.08 / 0.94 \pm 0.11$ aBMD Z score $-0.66 \pm 0.77 / -0.95 \pm 0.63 / -0.69 \pm 0.74$ T-score at 22 years: -0.75 ± 0.78

Transmen

Height cm $165.2 \pm 9.1 / 168.4 \pm 8.3 / 170.6 \pm 7.9$

Lumbar spine

BMAD, g/cm³ $0.25 \pm 0.03 / 0.24 \pm 0.02 / 0.25 \pm 0.28$ BMAD Z score $0.28 \pm 0.90 / -0.50 \pm 0.81 / -0.033 \pm 0.95$ aBMD, g/cm² $0.95 \pm 0.12 / 0.91 \pm 0.10 / 0.99 \pm 0.13$ aBMD Z score $0.17 \pm 1.18 / -0.72 \pm 0.99 / -0.33 \pm 1.12$ T-score at 22 years: -0.43 ± 1.2

Femoral neck

BMAD, g/cm³ $0.32 \pm 0.04 / 0.31 \pm 0.04 / 0.33 \pm 0.05$ BMAD Z score $0.01 \pm 0.70 / -0.28 \pm 0.74 / -$ aBMD, g/cm² $0.92 \pm 0.10 / 0.88 \pm 0.09 / 0.95 \pm 0.10$ aBMD Z score $0.36 \pm 0.88 / -0.35 \pm 0.79 / -0.35 \pm 0.74$ T-score at 22 years: 0.005 ± 0.87

Author, Year (ref)	Viot, et al 2017 (10)
Title	Effect of pubertal suppression and cross-sex hormone therapy on bone turnover markers and bone
	mineral apparent density (BMAD) in transgender adolescents
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective, cohort study, before after 2001-2011
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of GnRH:
Age at start	Min Tanner B2 or G2
Age in cohort	And to each each
Tanner stage	Age in cohort: Transmen:
	Median: 15.1 years
	Range: 11.7–18.6 years
	Tanner B2-B5
	Transwomen:
	Median: 13.5 years
	Range: 11.5–18.3 years
	Tanner G2-G5
	Age at start of CSHT (min age 16 years):
	Transmen:
	Median: 16.3 years
	Range: 15.9–19.5 years
	Transwomen:
	Median: 16.0 years
	Range: 14.0–18.9 years
POPULATION (n)	In Table 1:
n patients	70
natal male (M-t-F)	42 female-to-male (transmen)
natal female (F-t-M)	28 male-to-female (transwomen)
, ,	, , ,
	In abstract:
	56
	34 female-to-male (transmen)
	22 male-to-female (transwomen)
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: Triptorelin (Decapeptyl–CR ®) 3.75 mg s.c. /4 weeks
Puberty suppression	CSHT:
(GnRH)	Testosterone esters (Sustanon) i.m.: 25 mg/m²/2 weeks, 6-month increment until 250 mg/4 w
Cross-sex hormone	17-β estradiol: 5 µg/kg/day, 6-months increments until 2 mg/day
treatment (CSHT)	Study intervention:
	DXA- dual energy X-ray absorptiometry
	BMAD Z-scores calculated for sex assigned at birth using UK reference population, due to the lack of
	consensus with regard to the use of either sex assigned at birth or desired sex reference values in
	transgender adolescents.
	The lack of validated reference values of bone age needed to calculate the BMAD, and Z-scores limits
	the use of bone age and therefore the chronological calendar age of the transgender adolescents was
	used.
	Reference values of L- M- and S-values of 17-year-old biological males and females were used to
	calculate the BMAD for patients older than 17 years, due to the lack of reference values of adolescents
	exceeding the age of 17 years.
	Two groups:
	Young group: bone age <15 years in transwomen or <14 years in transmen
	Old group: bone age ≥15 years in transwomen or ≥14 years in transmen
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH
Treatment duration	Approximately 1 year in transmen
Follow-up time,	Approximately 2–3 years in transwomen
Follow-up age	CSHT
	Up to 24 months.
OUTCOMES -	Bone mineral turnover markers:
Reported outcomes	N-terminal propertied of type I collagen (PINP)
	Osteocalcin (OC) Carbony terminal cross linked telepoptide of type I collegen (ICTP)
	Carboxy terminal cross linked telopeptide of type I collagen (ICTP) Bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) of lumbar spine (LS) and femoral neck (FM)
	Z-scores
	2 300.03

RESULTS –

Extracted outcomes

At start GnRH / at start CHST / at 24 months

Height, cm, median (range)

Transmen: 164.2 (149.6–180.1) / 165.8 (152.6–181.2) / 168.6 (155.6–183) *Transwomen:* 166.9 (153.9–185.7) / 176.3 (165.1–186.4) / 180.7 (167.4-195.0)

Transmen, "young"

P1NP median/range: 783 (516–1090) / 324 (194–402) / 186 (163–334) OC median/range: 5 (2.2–11.7) / 6.8 (1.8–7.7) / 4.9 (4.2–7.8) ICTP median/range: 24 (17–29.9) / 11 (7.8–12) / 12 (11–14)

BMAD HIP: 0.31 (0.26–0.36) / 0.30 (0.22–0.35) / 0.33 (0.23–0.37)

BMAD HIP Z-score: -0.01 (-1.30–0.91) / -0.37 (-2.28–0.47) / -0.37 (-2.03–0.85)

BMAD LS: 0.23 (0.20–0.29) / 0.23 (0.19–0.28) / 0.25 (0.22–0.28)

BMAD LS Z-score: -0.05 (-0.78–2.94) / -0.84 (-2.2–0.87) / -0.15 (-1.38–0.94)

Transmen, "old"

P1NP median/range: 110 (38–471) / 127 (61–321) / 101 (44–181) OC median/range: 2.4 (0.4–4.6) / 3.9 (0.4–8.6) / 2.9 (0.8–5) ICTP median/range: 7 (5.2–15) / 6.9 (4.6–14) / 8.2 (4.1–16)

BMAD HIP: 0.33 (0.25–0.39) / 0.30 (0.23–0.41) / 0.32 (0.23–0.41)

BMAD HIP Z-score: 0.27 (-1.39–1.32) / -0.27 (-1.91–1.29) / 0.02 (-2.1–1.35)

BMAD LS: 0.26 (0.21–0.29) / 0.24 (0.20–0.28) / 0.25 (0.21–0.30)

BMAD LS Z-score: 0.27 (-1.6–1.8) / -0.29 (-2.28–0.90) / -0.06 (-1.76–1.61)

Transwomen, "young"

P1NP median/range: 935 (617–1348) / 363 (185–643) / 204 (137–314) OC median/range: 4.8 (2.6–21.9) / 6.4 (0.7–12.8) / 5.4 (3.9–12.5) ICTP median/range: 23 (15–34) / 13 (8.7–21) / 10 (8.5–13)

BMAD HIP: 0.29 (0.20–0.33) / 0.27 (0.20–0.33) / 0.27 (0.20–0.36)

BMAD HIP Z-score: -0.71 (-3.35–0.37) / -1.32 (-3.39–0.21) / -1.3 (-3.51–0.92)

BMAD LS: 0.21 (0.17–0.25) / 0.20 (0.18–0.24) / 0.22 (0.19–0.27)

BMAD LS Z-score: -0.2 (-1.82–1.18) / -1.52 (-2.36–0.42) / -1.10 (-2.44–0.69)

Transwomen," old"

P1NP median/range: 191 (96–792) / 140 (111–467) / 119 (55–296) OC median/range: 2.29 (0.8–11) / 2.2 (0.5–6.1) / 3.3 (1.8–6.8) ICTP median/range: 12 (6.9–21) / 7.4 (6.9–13) / 6.8 (4.8–15)

BMAD HIP: 0.30 (0.26–0.36) / 0.30 (0.26–0.34) / 0.29 (0.24–0.38)

BMAD HIP Z-score: -0.44 (1.37–0.93) / -0.36 (-1.5–0.46) / -0.56 (-2.17–1.29)

BMAD LS: 0.22 (0.18–0.25) / 0.22 (0.19–0.24) / 0.23 (0.21–0.26)

BMAD LS Z-score: -1.18 (-1.78–1.09) / -1.15 (-2.21–0.08) / -0.66 (-1.66–0.54)

Author, Year (ref) Title Schagen et al 2020 (11) Bone Development in Transgender Adolescents Treated With GnRH Analogues and Subsequen Affirming Hormones The Netherlands Prospective observational study, 1998 - 2009 POPULATION (ages) Age at start Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls 14.5 ± 2.0 trans boys	t Gender-
Affirming Hormones Country The Netherlands Prospective observational study, 1998 - 2009 POPULATION (ages) Age at start Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	t Gender-
Country Study design The Netherlands Prospective observational study, 1998 - 2009 POPULATION (ages) Age at start Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRHa: Early pubertal group: Tanner stage 2 or 3 Late pubertal group: Tanner stage 4 or 5 At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
Study design Prospective observational study, 1998 - 2009 POPULATION (ages) Age at start Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRHa: Early pubertal group: Tanner stage 2 or 3 Late pubertal group: Tanner stage 4 or 5 At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
POPULATION (ages) Age at start Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRHa: Early pubertal group: Tanner stage 2 or 3 Late pubertal group: Tanner stage 4 or 5 At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
Age at start Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
Age in cohort Tanner stage At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
Tanner stage At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
Tanner stage At start of GnRH: (mean ± SD) 14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
14.1 ± 1.7 trans girls	
14.5 ± 2.0 trans boys	
At start of CSHT:	
16.2 ± 1.2 trans girls	
16.9 ± 1.1 trans boys	
POPULATION (n) GnRHa group:	
n patients 121	
natal male (M-t-F) 51 trans girls	
natal female (F-t-M) 70 trans boys	
Pubertal group: Early (Tanner 2-3) / Late (Tanner 4-5)	
15 / 36 trans girls	
14 / 56 trans boys	
GnRHa + CSHT group:	
78	
36 trans girls	
42 trans boys	
Pubertal group: Early (Tanner 2-3) / Late (Tanner 4-5)	
10 / 26 trans girls 5 / 37 trans boys	
·	
INTERVENTION (type) GnRHa i.m. 3.75 mg/ 4 weeks (Triptorelin)	
Puberty suppression CSHT:	
(GnRH) Oestrogens oral Testosterone i.m. (Sustanon)	
treatment (CSHT) In subjects > 16 years at the start of pubertal suppression:	
CSHT started at half the adult dose and increased to the adult dose after 6 months.	
(2 mg 17beta-estradiol/day, 125 mg testosterone-esters/ 2 weeks considered an adult dose).	
Study intervention:	
Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA)	
Calculate z-scores based on age and sex using National Health and Nutrition Examination Surv	reys
(NHANES) references values; reference population of the birth-assigned sex was used.	
BMAD (g/cm3) calculated as described by Ward [Ward et al. 2007 UK reference data for the H	-
Discovery dual-energy x ray absorptiometry scanner in healthy children and young adults aged	16-17
years. Arch Dis Child. 92(1): 53-59).	007\
BMAD Z-scores calculated using LMS data from an English reference population [Ward et al. 2	007 j.
INTERVENTION (time) Duration of GnRH: (years)	
Treatment duration 1.9 ± 1.03 mean	
Follow-up time, 2.0 ± 0.94 transgirls	
Follow-up age 1.8 ± 1.11 transboys	
Early pubertal groups were on GnRHa for a significantly longer time	
(2.5 years in transgirls (n = 7) and 4.0 years in transboys (n = 3))	
when compared with both late-pubertal groups	
(1.5 years in transgirls and 1.7 years in transboys)	
Duration of CSHT: 3 years (not further detailed)	
Deno minoral apparent density (DAAAD)	
OUTCOMES - Bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) Reported outcomes BMAD 7-scores (age, and sex-specific)	
Reported outcomes BMAD Z-scores (age- and sex-specific)	
Reported outcomes BMAD Z-scores (age- and sex-specific) Serum bone markers: P1NP, P3NP, osteocalcin, 1CTP	
Reported outcomes BMAD Z-scores (age- and sex-specific)	

RESULTS -

Extracted outcomes

aBMD 2 Years of GnRHa Treatment, Baseline / 24 months

Transgirls

Early Pubertal (n=15)

aBMD_hip g/cm² 0.81 (0.03) / 0.86 (0.03) Z-score -0.49 (0.24) / -0.93 (0.21)

Late-Pubertal (n=36)

Transboys

Early-pubertal (n=14)

aBMD_hip g/cm² 0.79 (0.03) / 0.83 (0.03) Z-score 0.09 (0.26) /-0.50 (0.24)

Transboys

Late-pubertal (n=56)

aBMD_hip g/cm² 0.93 (0.01) / 0.89 (0.02) Z-score 0.46 (0.13) / -0.56 (0.13)

aBMD GnRHa + 3 Years of Gender-Affirming Hormone Treatment, Baseline / 36 months

Transgirls

Early-Pubertal: (n=10)

Transgirls

Late-Pubertal: (n=26)

Transboys

Early-pubertal: (n=5)

Transboys

Late-pubertal: (n=37)

aBMD_hip g/cm² 0.88 (0.02) / 0.96 (0.02) Z-score -0.50 (0.12) / 0.12 (0.16)

	To the state (a)
Author, Year (ref)	Stoffers et al 2019 (12)
Title	Physical changes, laboratory parameters, and bone mineral density during testosterone treatment in
	adolescents with gender dysphoria
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective, cohort study before-after, 2010-2018
POPULATION (ages)	At start of GnRH:
Age at start	Median: 16.5 years
Age in cohort	Range: 11.8–18.0 years
Tanner stage	
	At start of testosterone:
	Median: 17.2 years
	Range: 14.9–18.4 years
POPULATION (n)	62 trans males (FtM)
n patients	17 evaluated
natal male (M-t-F)	0 discontinued testosterone
natal female (F-t-M)	"Excluded psychological, medical, or social problems that might interfere with treatment"
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH (Decapeptyl-CR®): 3.75 mg /4 weeks s.c. for at least 6 months
Puberty suppression	Testosterone (Sustanon®); start at 250 mg i.m.
(GnRH)	Age 15–16 years: increased every 6 months using 25 mg/m ² /2 weeks, 50 mg/m ² /2 weeks,
Cross-sex hormone	and 75 mg/m ² /2 weeks, leading up to a standard adult dose of 125 mg every 2 weeks.
treatment (CSHT)	≥16 years: start 75 mg/m²/2 weeks for 6 months, thereafter 125mg/m²/2 weeks
	Study intervention:
	Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry. Lumbar spine (LS) and hip (n=17)
	BMD Z-scores calculated using female reference data from Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study
	(USA) for those >16 years of age, reference data from the <i>Third National Health and Nutrition</i>
	Examination Survey for the neck area of the hip and Hologic adult reference data for the LS were used.
	Bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) calculated and Z- scores determined for lumbar spine and left
	femoral neck as described by Ward et al. (UK).
	Reference values provided for up to 17 years, reference values for 17-year-olds used for those >17 y.
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration
Treatment duration	Median: 8 months
Follow-up time,	Range: 3–39 months (3.25 years)
Follow-up age	Testosterone duration
	Min: 6 months
	Mean: 12 months
	Range: 5–33 months (2.75 years)
OUTCOMES -	Virilization (acne, hair growth, voice deepening, absence of menses)
Reported outcomes	height, weight, BMI, BP, hematcrit, cholesterol, ALP, triglycerides, Hb
	Hormone levels: FSH, LH, DHAES, FT4, testosterone, estradiol, TSH, prolactin, androstenedione,
	sex-hormone binding globulin (SHBP)
	Bone mineral density (BMD) lumbar spine, femoral neck
DECLUTE	BMD Z-scores
RESULTS -	Bone health:
Extracted outcomes	At start GnRH (n=62) / at start testosterone (n=62) / at 24 months (n=15)
	Blood pressure, mm Hg (median (IQR)
	Systolic 124 (115-129) / 118 (114-126) / 126 (117-129) Diagtolic 69 (65,72) / 72 (66,77) / 74 (63,76)
	Diastolic 68 (65-73) / 72 (66-77) / 74 (63-76) Height (cm (magn + SD)) 167 1 + 6.9 / 168 2 + 6.2 / 167 8 + 5.2
	Height (cm (mean \pm SD)) 167.1 \pm 6.9 / 168.2 \pm 6.2 / 167.8 \pm 5.3
	BMD, g/cm² (mean ± SD)
i e	pane, 6/cm (mcan ± 50)
	Lumbar spine $0.96 \pm 0.11 / 0.90 \pm 0.11 / 0.95 \pm 0.11$
	Lumbar spine $0.96 \pm 0.11 / 0.90 \pm 0.11 / 0.95 \pm 0.11$
	Left hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.76 \pm 0.09 / 0.86 \pm 0.09$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Left hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.76 \pm 0.09 / 0.86 \pm 0.09$ Right hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.77 \pm 0.08 / 0.85 \pm 0.11$
	Left hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.76 \pm 0.09 / 0.86 \pm 0.09$ Right hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.77 \pm 0.08 / 0.85 \pm 0.11$ BMD Z-score (mean \pm SD)
	Left hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.76 \pm 0.09 / 0.86 \pm 0.09$ Right hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.77 \pm 0.08 / 0.85 \pm 0.11$ BMD Z-score (mean \pm SD) Lumbar spine: $0.02 \pm 1.00 / -0.81 \pm 1.02 / -0.74 \pm 1.1$
	Left hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.76 \pm 0.09 / 0.86 \pm 0.09$ Right hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.77 \pm 0.08 / 0.85 \pm 0.11$ BMD Z-score (mean \pm SD) Lumbar spine: $0.02 \pm 1.00 / -0.81 \pm 1.02 / -0.74 \pm 1.1$ Left hip $-0.19 \pm 1.04 / -1.07 \pm 0.85 / -0.20 \pm 0.70$
	Left hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.76 \pm 0.09 / 0.86 \pm 0.09$ Right hip $0.84 \pm 0.11 / 0.77 \pm 0.08 / 0.85 \pm 0.11$ BMD Z-score (mean \pm SD) Lumbar spine: $0.02 \pm 1.00 / -0.81 \pm 1.02 / -0.74 \pm 1.1$

	II . I .
Author, Year (ref)	Navabi et al 2021 (13)
Title	Pubertal Suppression, Bone Mass, and Body Composition in Youth With Gender Dysphoria
Country	Canada
Study design	Retrospective review of medical records 2006 - 2017
POPULATION (ages)	Age in cohort: (years ± SD)
Age at start	15.2 (± 1.8) transgender males
Age in cohort	15.4 (± 2.0) transgender females
Tanner stage	
	90.7 % Tanner 4–5 transgender males
	80.3 % Tanner 4–5 transgender females
POPULATION (n)	198 youth
n patients	172 included
natal male (M-t-F)	119 transgender males (female at birth)
natal female (F-t-M)	51 transgender females (male at birth)
	2 nonbinary
	Dra Doct Ca Dill analysis
	Pre-Post GnRH analysis:
	116 individuals:
	80 transgender males
	36 transgender females
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRHa: leuprolide acetate i.m. start at 7.5 mg/4 weeks (3 doses), followed by 11.25 mg/ 12 w.
Puberty suppression	calcium carbonate 500 mg twice daily (advised for youth with poor calcium intake)
(GnRH)	vitamin D 1000 to 2000 IU daily (advised for all youth)
Cross-sex hormone	
treatment (CSHT)	Dual-energy radiograph absorptiometry
INTERVENTION (time)	FU times: 6, 12 and 18 months
Treatment duration	
Follow-up time,	Pre-GnRHa DXA:
Follow-up age	at -51.4 ± 41.3 days (range -158 to +28 days) relative to GnRHa initiation.
	Post-GnRHa DXA:
	at 355.2 ± 96.7 days (range 188–676 days) after GnRHa initiation (median 352.5 (294.5, 385.8)
	Mean time interval between pre- and post-DXA scans:
	406.7 ± 98.3 days (range 210–720 days).
OUTCOMES -	
	aBMD areal bone mineral density aBMD z scores
Reported outcomes	
	Lumbar spine (LS) (L2–L4) left total hip (LTH) aBMD z scores
	Vitamin D status
RESULTS –	At baseline:
Extracted outcomes	Transgender females had lower z scores at lumbar spine aBMD, LS BMAD, left total hip aBMD, and bone
Latitucted outcomes	mineral content (BMC) than transgender males.
	55.2 % of transgender youth had vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency.
	33.2 % of transgender youth had vitalini b deficiency of insufficiency.
	Post-pre-GnRH mean difference (95% CI)
	Transgender males:
	Lumbal spine
	aBMD z score -0.74 (-0.85 to - 0.63)
	BMAD z score -0.59 (-0.74 to - 0.45)
	Left total hip aBMD z score -0.33 (-0.40 to -0.26)
	Total body less head
	aBMD z score -0.34 (-0.43 to -0.25)
	Transgender females:
	Lumbal spine aBMD z score -0.33 (-0.46 to -0.19)
	BMAD z score -0.37 (-0.61 to -0.14)
	Left total hip
	aBMD z score -0.46 (-0.60 to -0.31)
	Total body less head
Author, Year (ref)	aBMD z score -0.34 (-0.48 to -0.21) van der Loos et al 2021 (14)
Title	Development of Hip Bone Geometry During Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy in Transgender
11610	Adolescents Resembles That of the Experienced Gender When Pubertal Suspension Is Started in Early
	Puberty
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective cohort, 2011-2018
יו פונטון אכטוקוו	1 356555176 501101.9 2022 2020

POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of GnRH:
Age at start	(min Tanner B2, Tanner G2–G3):
Age in cohort	11-17 years
Tanner stage	Age at start of CSHT:
	15 – 17 years
	At start of Capilly, early, mid or late authority groups.
	At start of GnRH: early, mid or late puberty groups:
	Tanner stage: early: B2; mid: B3; late: B4 and B5 Testicular volume: early: ≤9 mL; mid: 10−19 mL; late: ≥20 mL
	resticular volume. early. 25 mL, mid. 10–13 mL, late. 220 mL
POPULATION (n)	322 included
n patients	106 transwomen (early: n=32; mid: n=30; late: n=44)
natal male (M-t-F)	216 transmen (early: n=8; mid: n= 22; late: n=186)
natal female (F-t-M)	115 gonadectomy
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRHa: triptorelin s.c. 3.75 mg / 4 weeks, or 11.25 mg /12 weeks
Puberty suppression	CSHT (GAH- gender affirming hormone treatment):
(GnRH)	17-beta-estradiol oral, start at 5 µg/kg, increased up to 2 to 4 mg/day.
Cross-sex hormone	Testosterone ester mixture i.m. 25 mg/m2, increased up to 250 mg / 3 to 4 weeks.
treatment (CSHT)	Surgery: Gonadectomy at earliest age 18 years (if performed, GnRH was stopped afterwards)
	Study intervention:
	DXA: narrow neck hip structure analysis (HSA)
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration (min 6 months):
Treatment duration	range 1-4 years
Follow-up time,	CSHT duration:
Follow-up age	range 2-6 years
	DXA after ≥2years of CSHT
OUTCOMES -	Subperiostal width
Reported outcomes	Endocortical diameter
	BMI, Height, Hormone levels
	, , ,
RESULTS –	Subperiosteal Width and Endocortical Diameter, Change in Centimeters, mean (95% CI)
Extracted outcomes	Δ between start of GnRHa and start of GAH /
	Δ between the start of GnRHa and after ≥2 years of GAH /
	Δ between the start of GAH and after ≥2 years of GAH /
	<u>Trans women</u>
	Early puberty
	Subperiosteal width 0.38 (0.16; 0.60) / 0.44 (0.23; 0.65) / 0.06 (-0.15; 0.27)
	Endocortical diameter 0.39 (0.16; 0.61) / 0.38 (0.17; 0.60) /-0.00 (-0.21; 0.21) Mid puberty
	Subperiosteal width 0.33 (0.15; 0.50) / 0.57 (0.39; 0.75) / 0.25 (0.11; 0.38)
	Endocortical diameter 0.34 (0.17; 0.51) / 0.55 (0.37; 0.72) / 0.21 (0.08; 0.34)
	Late puberty
	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34)
	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33)
	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33) <u>Trans men</u>
	Subperiosteal width
	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33) Trans men Early puberty Subperiosteal width 0.63 (0.58; 0.68) / 0.79 (0.72; 0.85) / 0.15 (0.12; 0.19) Endocortical diameter 0.62 (0.57; 0.67) / 0.73 (0.67; 0.79) / 0.11 (0.08; 0.14) Mid puberty Subperiosteal width 0.10 (-0.09; 0.29) / 0.31 (0.11; 0.50) / 0.21 (0.03; 0.38) Endocortical diameter 0.09 (-0; 11; 0.30) / 0.27 (0.06; 0.48) / 0.18 (-0.01; 0.36) Late puberty Subperiosteal width 0.07 (-0.03; 0.18) / 0.15 (0.04; 0.26) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.18) Endocortical diameter 0.10 (-0.01; 0.21) / 0.17 (0.05; 0.28) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.17) "development of hip bone geometry in transgender adolescents resembled that of the experienced gender if the GnRHa treatment was initiated during early puberty and was followed by a start of GAH. Only participants starting during early puberty showed more resemblance to the reference curves of their experienced gender. Participants starting GnRHa and GAH treatments during mid or late puberty
	Subperiosteal width
Author, Year (ref)	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33) Trans men Early puberty Subperiosteal width 0.63 (0.58; 0.68) / 0.79 (0.72; 0.85) / 0.15 (0.12; 0.19) Endocortical diameter 0.62 (0.57; 0.67) / 0.73 (0.67; 0.79) /0.11 (0.08; 0.14) Mid puberty Subperiosteal width 0.10 (-0.09; 0.29) / 0.31 (0.11; 0.50) / 0.21 (0.03; 0.38) Endocortical diameter 0.09 (-0; 11; 0.30) / 0.27 (0.06; 0.48) / 0.18 (-0.01; 0.36) Late puberty Subperiosteal width 0.07 (-0.03; 0.18) / 0.15 (0.04; 0.26) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.18) Endocortical diameter 0.10 (-0.01; 0.21) / 0.17 (0.05; 0.28) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.17) "development of hip bone geometry in transgender adolescents resembled that of the experienced gender if the GnRHa treatment was initiated during early puberty and was followed by a start of GAH. Only participants starting during early puberty showed more resemblance to the reference curves of their experienced gender. Participants starting GnRHa and GAH treatments during mid or late puberty continued within the curve of their gender assigned at birth" Lee et al 2020 (15)
Author, Year (ref) Title	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33) Trans men Early puberty Subperiosteal width 0.63 (0.58; 0.68) / 0.79 (0.72; 0.85) / 0.15 (0.12; 0.19) Endocortical diameter 0.62 (0.57; 0.67) / 0.73 (0.67; 0.79) / 0.11 (0.08; 0.14) Mid puberty Subperiosteal width 0.10 (-0.09; 0.29) / 0.31 (0.11; 0.50) / 0.21 (0.03; 0.38) Endocortical diameter 0.09 (-0; 11; 0.30) / 0.27 (0.06; 0.48) / 0.18 (-0.01; 0.36) Late puberty Subperiosteal width 0.07 (-0.03; 0.18) / 0.15 (0.04; 0.26) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.18) Endocortical diameter 0.10 (-0.01; 0.21) / 0.17 (0.05; 0.28) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.17) "development of hip bone geometry in transgender adolescents resembled that of the experienced gender if the GnRHa treatment was initiated during early puberty and was followed by a start of GAH. Only participants starting during early puberty showed more resemblance to the reference curves of their experienced gender. Participants starting GnRHa and GAH treatments during mid or late puberty continued within the curve of their gender assigned at birth" Lee et al 2020 (15) Low Bone Mineral Density in Early Pubertal Transgender/Gender Diverse Youth:
Title	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33) Trans men Early puberty Subperiosteal width 0.63 (0.58; 0.68) / 0.79 (0.72; 0.85) / 0.15 (0.12; 0.19) Endocortical diameter 0.62 (0.57; 0.67) / 0.73 (0.67; 0.79) / 0.11 (0.08; 0.14) Mid puberty Subperiosteal width 0.10 (-0.09; 0.29) / 0.31 (0.11; 0.50) / 0.21 (0.03; 0.38) Endocortical diameter 0.09 (-0; 11; 0.30) / 0.27 (0.06; 0.48) / 0.18 (-0.01; 0.36) Late puberty Subperiosteal width 0.07 (-0.03; 0.18) / 0.15 (0.04; 0.26) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.18) Endocortical diameter 0.10 (-0.01; 0.21) / 0.17 (0.05; 0.28) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.17) "development of hip bone geometry in transgender adolescents resembled that of the experienced gender if the GnRHa treatment was initiated during early puberty and was followed by a start of GAH. Only participants starting during early puberty showed more resemblance to the reference curves of their experienced gender. Participants starting GnRHa and GAH treatments during mid or late puberty continued within the curve of their gender assigned at birth" Lee et al 2020 (15) Low Bone Mineral Density in Early Pubertal Transgender/Gender Diverse Youth: Findings From the Trans Youth Care Study
	Subperiosteal width 0.06 (-0.08; 0.20) / 0.27 (0.16; 0.39) / 0.21 (0.09; 0.34) Endocortical diameter 0.08 (-0.06; 0.22) / 0.27 (0.15; 0.40) / 0.19 (0.06; 0.33) Trans men Early puberty Subperiosteal width 0.63 (0.58; 0.68) / 0.79 (0.72; 0.85) / 0.15 (0.12; 0.19) Endocortical diameter 0.62 (0.57; 0.67) / 0.73 (0.67; 0.79) / 0.11 (0.08; 0.14) Mid puberty Subperiosteal width 0.10 (-0.09; 0.29) / 0.31 (0.11; 0.50) / 0.21 (0.03; 0.38) Endocortical diameter 0.09 (-0; 11; 0.30) / 0.27 (0.06; 0.48) / 0.18 (-0.01; 0.36) Late puberty Subperiosteal width 0.07 (-0.03; 0.18) / 0.15 (0.04; 0.26) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.18) Endocortical diameter 0.10 (-0.01; 0.21) / 0.17 (0.05; 0.28) / 0.07 (-0.04; 0.17) "development of hip bone geometry in transgender adolescents resembled that of the experienced gender if the GnRHa treatment was initiated during early puberty and was followed by a start of GAH. Only participants starting during early puberty showed more resemblance to the reference curves of their experienced gender. Participants starting GnRHa and GAH treatments during mid or late puberty continued within the curve of their gender assigned at birth" Lee et al 2020 (15) Low Bone Mineral Density in Early Pubertal Transgender/Gender Diverse Youth:

DODLII ATION (ages)	Ago at start of Capiti
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of GnRH:
Age at start	11.0 ± 1.4 years designated females at birth (DFAB)
Age in cohort	12.1 ± 1.3 years designated males at birth (DMAB)
Tanner stage	
POPULATION (n)	63 transgender youth
n patients	30 designated females at birth (DFAB)
natal male (M-t-F)	33 designated males at birth (DMAB)
natal female (F-t-M)	Tanner stages 2-3:
	40 (63.5%) Tanner 2
	23 (36.5%) Tanner 3
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH (not further specified)
Puberty suppression	
(GnRH)	Study intervention:
Cross-sex hormone	DXA (before or 2 months after start of GnRH):
treatment (CSHT)	DXA scans: total body less head (TBLH) lumbar spine total hip femoral neck
	Quantitative computed tomography (QCT):
	cortical and trabecular vBMD: midshaft femur L1-L3 vertebral bodies.
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration before DXA:
Treatment duration	0-2 months
Follow-up time,	
Follow-up age	
OUTCOMES -	Areal and volumetric BMD Z-scores
Reported outcomes	dietary calcium
	serum 25-hydroxy-vitamin D
	physical activity (assessed with Physical Activity Questionnaire for Older Children (PAQ-C))
RESULTS –	Bone health: Areal and volumetric BMD Z-scores.
Extracted outcomes	
	BMD assessed before initiation of GnRHa: 90% (57/63) of participants
	Low aBMD or vBMD Z-score, defined as < -2:
	in 30% (95% CI 15.6-48.7) of DMAB (10/33)
	in 13% (95% CI 3.8-30.7)) of DFAB (4/30)
	At least 1 BMD Z-score was < -2 in:
	30% of DMAB
	13% of DFAB
	Designated males at birth (DMAB):
	BMD Z-scores below-average compared with male reference standards.
	Designated females at birth (DFAB):
	BMD Z-scores below-average when compared with female reference standards
	except at hip sites.
	Physical Activity Operations of a Older Children
	Physical Activity Questionnaire for Older Children:
	low score in youth with low BMD than youth with normal BMD.
	Distant salaitus intaka suhastimal in allusuth
i	Dietary calcium intake: suboptimal in all youth.
	Vitamin D: no significant deficiencies.

Table 3 Effects on anthropometric measures and metabolism by puberty suppression in adolescents

addiescents	
Author, Year (ref)	Schagen et al 2016 (16)
Title	Efficacy and Safety of Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonist Treatment to Suppress Puberty
	in Gender Dysphoric Adolescents
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Prospective cohort study, before-after, 1998 – 2009
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start:
Age at Tx start	M-t-F:
Age in cohort	Range 11.6–17.9 years
Tanner stage	Median 13.6 years
-	Tanner G2–G5
	F-t-M:
	Range 11.1–18.6 years
	Median 14.2 years
	Tanner B2-B5
POPULATION (n)	116
n patients	49 M-t-F
natal male (M-t-F)	67 F-t-M
natal female (F-t-M)	77 analyzed:
, ,	36 M-t-F
	41 F-t-M
	0 discontinued GnRH treatment
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: Triptorelin (Decapeptyl-CR) 3.75 mg i.m. at 0, 2, and 4 weeks, followed by every 4 weeks.
Puberty suppression	
(GnRH)	Study intervention:
Cross-sex hormone	Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA)
treatment (CSHT)	
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration:
Treatment duration	3 to 12 months
Follow-up time,	(depended on when the individual reached the age at which CSHT could be added)
Follow-up age	(**************************************
OUTCOMES -	Physical examination
All reported outcomes	Tanner stage (breast development, testicular volume)
'	Height and weight, height SD score
	Body mass index (BMI), BMI SD score
	Body composition: (fat mass, fat %, lean body mass %)
	Hormone levels: LH, FSH, testosterone, estradiol
	Liver enzymes: alkaline phosphatase (AP),
	aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase
	Creatinine
RESULTS	At start GnRH / at 1 y GnRH (mean (SD))
Extracted outcomes	
	<u>M-t-F (n=36):</u>
	Height (cm) 167.8 (7.5) / 172.3 (6.5)
	Weight (kg) 57.4 (11.1) / 63.3 (11.9)
	BMI (kg/m2) 20.3 (3.0) / 21.2 (3.2)
	Lean body mass (%) 74.6 (6.4) / 70.9 (7.3)
	Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 303 (109) / 216 (79)
	Creatinine (mmol/L) 70 (12) / 66 (13)
	<u>F-t-M (n=41) :</u>
	Height (cm) 161.4 (8.4) / 163.5 (7.9)
	Weight (kg) 55.1 (14.7) / 59.5 (14.4)
	BMI (kg/m2) 21.0 (4.5) / 22.1 (4.6)
	Lean body mass (%) 71.5 (6.7) / 67.7 (6.7)
	Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 215 (101) / 168 (58)
	Creatinine (mmol/L) 73 (8) / 68 (13)

-	
Author, Year (ref)	Klaver et al. 2018 (17)
Title	Early Hormonal Treatment Affects Body Composition and Body Shape in Young Transgender Adolescents
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective cohort study of medical records, before-after, 1998–2014
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of GnRH:
Age at Tx start	Min age: 12 years
Age in cohort	Min Tanner B2 (girls)
Tanner stage	Min Tanner G3 (boys)
	14.5 ± 1.8 years transwomen
	15.3 ± 2.0 years transmen
	Age at start of CSHT:
	Min age 16 years
	16.4 ± 1.1 years transwomen
	16.9 ± 0.9 years transmen
POPULATION (n)	192
n patients	71 transwomen (MtF) (birth-assigned boys)
natal male (M-t-F)	121 transmen (FtM) (birth-assigned girls)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: 3.75 mg for 4 weeks until gonadectomy
Puberty suppression	Cross-sex hormonal treatment (CSHT):
(GnRH)	17b-estradiol oral (5 mg/kg/day, increased by 5 mg/kg/day every 6 months until 2 mg/day)
Cross-sex hormone	mixed testosterone esters i.m. (25 mg/m2/ 2 weeks, increased by 25 mg/m2 every 6 months until 250
treatment (CSHT)	mg/m2/3-to 4 weeks)
, ,	Surgery: Gonadectomy
	Study intervention: Whole-body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRH duration:
Treatment duration	until gonadectomy, at earliest age 18
Follow-up time,	
Follow-up age	Follow-up time:
	GnRH monotherapy:
	2.1 years (1.0–2.8) transwomen (M-t-F)
	1.0 years (0.5–2.9) transmen (F-t-M)
	GnRH + CSHT:
	3.1 years (2.5–3.6) transwomen (M-t-F)
	2.4 years (2.0–3.1) transmen (F-t-M)
	CSHT monotherapy:
	2.8 years (1.6–3.4) transwomen (M-t-F)
	3.0 years (1.9–3.4) transmen (F-t-M)
	Follow-up age: 22 years
	Tollow up age. 22 years
OUTCOMES -	Body weight, BMI
All reported outcomes	Waist circumference (cm), Hip circumference
	Change in waist-hip ratio (WHR)
	total body fat (TBF), android (%), gynoid (%)
	total lean body mass (LBM)
DECLUTE	At start of CnDH (±4months) / at start of CSHT (±4months) / at acc 22 (±4.5 ···c····)
RESULTS Extracted outcomes	At start of GnRH (±4months) / at start of CSHT (±4months) / at age 22 (±1.5 years)
Extracted outcomes	Transwomen (MtF):
	Body weight (kg) 58 (56–61) / 66 (63–69) / 76 (71–82)
	BMI (kg/m²) 20.2 (19.4–20.9) / 21.3 (20.5–22.0) / 23.2 (21.6–24.8)
	WHR 0.81 (0.79–0.82) / 0.79 (0.78–0.80) / 0.77 (0.75–0.79)
	LBM (%) 75 (74–77) / 69 (68–71) / 66 (64–68)
	Transmen (FtM):
	Body weight (kg) 58 (56–61) / 63 (60–65) / 69 (66–71)
	BMI (kg/m2) 21.6 (20.9–22.3) / 22.5 (21.7–23.2) / 23.9 (23.0–24.7)
	WHR 0.77 (0.76–0.78) / 0.76 (0.75–0.77) / 0.80 (0.78-0.82)
	LBM (%) 70 (69–71) / 67 (66–68) / 73 (72–74)

	Tut
Author, Year (ref)	Klaver et al. 2020 (18)
Title	Hormonal Treatment and Cardiovascular Risk Profile in Transgender Adolescents
Country	The Netherlands
Study design	Retrospective cohort study, before after, 1998–2015
POPULATION (ages)	At min age 12 years
Age at Tx start	Tanner B2 (girls)
Age in cohort	Tanner G3 (boys)
Tanner stage	And the start of Co-Dillo (see any (CD)).
	Age at start of GnRHa (mean (SD)):
	14.6 years (1.8) transwomen 15.2 years (2.0) transmen
	Age at start of CSHT: (mean (SD)):
	16.4 years (1.1) transwomen
	16.9 years (0.9) transmen
POPULATION (n)	192
n patients	71 transwomen (M-t-F)
natal male (M-t-F)	121 transmen (F-t-M)
natal female (F-t-M)	
INITEDVENITION (+vec)	CnPUL 2.75 mg// wooks s.s.
INTERVENTION (type) Puberty suppression	GnRH: 3.75 mg/4 weeks s.c. Cross sex hormonal treatment (CSHT): (from age 16 years):
(GnRH)	17-b estradiol (E2) oral (5 µg/kg/day, increased every 6 months until 2 mg/day)
Cross-sex hormone	mixed testosterone esters i.m.
treatment (CSHT)	(25 mg/m²/2 weeks, increased every 6 months until 250 mg/3–4 weeks.
, ,	
	When GnRHs were started after age 16: Cross-sex hormones added:
	after 3 to 6 months: start dose 1 mg E2 daily or 75 mg of testosterone esters i.m weekly
	after 6 months: 2 mg E2 daily or 250 mg of testosterone esters /3–4 weeks
INTERVENTION (time)	GnRHa monotherapy duration (median (IQR)):
Treatment duration	2.1 (1.0–2.7) transwomen
Follow-up time,	1.0 (0.5–2.9) transmen
Follow-up age	GnRHa + CSHT duration (median (IQR)):
	3.1 (2.5–3.6) transwomen
	2.3 (1.8–2.8) transmen
	CSHT monotherapy duration (median (IQR):
	2.2 (1.1–3.1) transwomen
	2.9 (1.7–3.4) transmen
	Follow-up age: 22 years:
	Range 20.5–23.5 years
OUTCOMES -	Changes in body mass index (BMI)
All reported outcomes	systolic blood pressure (SBP)
	diastolic blood pressure (DBP)
	glucose
	homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)
	lipid values
	prevalence of obesity
	dyslipidaemia

RESULTS

Extracted outcomes

At start of GnRH / at 22 years/ change during GnRH / change between start of CSHT and age 22 (mean (95% CI))

Transwomen (n=71):

BMI 20.2 (19.4 to 20.9) / 23.2 (21.6 to 24.8) / +1.1 (0.7 to 1.5) / +1.9 (0.6 to 3.2)

SBP (mmHg) 120 (116 to 123) / 117 (113 to 122) / +1 (-3 to 5) / -3 (-8 to 2)

DBP (mmHg) 65 (63 to 67) / 75 (72 to 78) / +4 (1 to 7) / +6 (3 to 10)

Glucose (mmol/L) 5.0 (4.8 to 5.2) / 5.0 (4.8 to 5.1) / -0.1 (-0.3 to 0.1) / +0.1 (-0.1 to 0.2)

Transmen (n=121):

BMI 21.6 (20.9 to 22.3) / 23.9 (23.0 to 24.7) / +0.9 (0.5 to 1.3) / +1.4 (0.8 to 2.0)

SBP (mmHg) 120 (118 to 122) / 126 (122 to 130) / +2 (-1 to 4) / +5 (1 to 9)

DBP (mmHg) 67 (66 to 69) / 74 (72 to 77) / +1 (-1 to 3) / +6 (4 to 9)

Obesity prevalence (at age 22):

BMI ≥30 in both sexes 9.9% in transwomen (M-t-F) 6.6% in transmen (F-f-M) 2.2% in ciswomen (females) 3.0% in cismen (males)

Perl et al 2020 (19)
Blood Pressure Dynamics After Pubertal Suppression with Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Analogs
Followed by Testosterone Treatment in Transgender Male Adolescents: A Pilot Study
Israel
Retrospective pilot study, 2013 - 2018
Age at start of GnRH:
14.4 ± 1.0 years
Tanner stage 4/5
Age at start of testosterone:
15.1 ± 0.9
48 transgender male adolescents
15 included
15 GnRH
subsequently were 9 treated with testosterone
Previous intervention:
GnRHa D-Trp-6-LHRH depot (3.75mg/4 weeks intramuscular injection)
CSHT: (patients who reached ≥14 years of age)
testosterone enanthate intramuscular injection (250 mg/mL), starting dose of 50–100 mg /4 weeks.
Medical nutrition counseling, not further specified.
Psychosocial support , not further specified
GnRHa duration:
3 ± 1 months.
Testosterone duration:
4 ± 2 months
DAM
BMI DD (precedure for messurement not given)
BP (procedure for measurement not given)
luteinizing hormone (LH)
follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) estradiol
testosterone
Anthropometric (C. C. Russian Construction of
(before GnRH; after GnRH; before testosterone; after testosterone) mean – SD
DMI /kg/m2\ maan + CD
BMI (kg/m2), mean ± SD
21.3 ± 4.7; 22.0 ± 4.8; 23.3 ± 5.6; 24.2 ± 4.6
BMI-SDS did not increase significantly during GnRHa therapy.
Diastolic BP percentiles: mean ± SD
56% ± 26 ; 74% ± 9.0 ; 74% ± 9.0 ; 56% ± 17
DBP percentiles increased significantly after GnRHa treatment and
remained significant after adjusting for the change in BMI-SDS.
DBP percentile decreased after adding testosterone.
BP levels did not meet criteria for hypertension.
S. Tevels and first freet difficult for hypertension.
Systolic BP percentiles: mean ± SD
71% – 19 ; 76% – 14 ; 76% – 14 ; 72% – 21
BP levels within the normal range and did not meet criteria for pediatric hypertension.
_

Author, Year (ref)	Schulmeister et al. 2021 (20)
Title	Growth in Transgender / Gender-Diverse Youth in the First Year of Treatment with
	Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists
Country	USA
Study design	Multisite prospective observational study, 2016 - 2018
POPULATION (ages)	Age at GnRHa start (mean (range)):
Age at Tx start	11.5 years (9.0-14.5) total
Age in cohort	11.9 years (10.2-14.5) male at birth
Tanner stage	11.1 years (9.0-13.9) female at birth
	Comparison group:
	11.0 ± 2.8 years, Tanner I
	220 2 210 700107 10111101 1
	Tanner stage at GnRHa start (n (%)):
	Tanner II 34 (62%) total; 21 (81%) male at birth; 13 (45%), female at birth
	Tanner III 16 (29%) total; 3 (12%) male at birth; 13 (45%) female at birth
	Tanner IV 5 (9%) total; 2 (8%) male at birth; 3 (10%) female at birth
	2 (0/3) male de 2mai, 0 (25/3) fonde de 2mai,
POPULATION (n)	92 enrolled
n patients	55 in cohort
natal male (M-t-F)	26 male at birth
natal female (F-t-M)	29 female at birth
	Comparison group:
	226 participants:
	118 males
	108 female
	Prepubertal, presumed cisgender youth not receiving hormonal intervention from
	the Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study (BMDCS)
	(Age-based reference ranges for annual height velocity in US children. Kelly, Winer, Kalkwarf, Oberfield, Lappe, Gilsanz, Zemel; J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014 Jun; 99(6): 2104-12).
	1.
	Exclusions: Serious psychiatric symptoms.
INTERVENTION (type)	GnRH: Drug, dose and frequency not reported.
Puberty suppression	
(GnRH)	Full description of study protocol published in [Olson-Kennedy J, Chan YM, Garofalo R, et al. Impact of
Cross-sex hormone	early medical treatment for transgender youth: Protocol for the longitudinal, observational trans youth
treatment (CSHT)	care study. J Med Internet Res 2019; 21: e14434]
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
INTERVENTION (time)	Duration:
Treatment duration	GnRHa: min 10 months max 14 months.
Follow-up time,	
Follow-up age	FU time:
	Prior to beginning GnRHa (baseline), 6- and 12-month follow-up visits.
OUTCOMES -	HV (height velocity)
All reported outcomes	BMI
	FSH (follicle-stimulating hormone)
	LH (luteinizing hormone)
	estradiol
	testosterone
	testosterone

RESULTS

Extracted outcomes

Height velocity (HV) in the first year of GnRHa use:

5.1 (3.7-5.6) cm/year (median (IQR)).

Later Tanner stage at GnRHa initiation was associated with lower HV:

5.3 (4.4-5.6) cm/year for Tanner stage II 4.4 (3.3-6.0) cm/year for Tanner stage III 1.6 (1.5-2.9) cm/year for Tanner stage IV

Height velocity by Tanner stage at baseline ((cm/year) median (IQR))

(total; designated male at birth; designated female at birth)

Tanner stage II 5.3 (4.4-5.6) total; 5.6 (4.7-5.7) male at birth; 5.0 (4.2-5.4) female at birth Tanner stage III 4.4 (3.3-6.0) total; 4.2 (2.3-6.4) male at birth; 4.4 (4.0-5.5) female at birth Tanner stage IV 1.6 (1.5-2.9) total; 1.5 (1.4-1.6) male at birth: 2.9 (1.5-3.5) female at birth

BMI z-score (mean (SD))

(total; designated male at birth; designated female at birth)

Baseline visit 0.46 (0.89) total; 0.56 (0.84) male at birth; 0.38 (0.94) female at birth 12-month visit 0.66 (0.97) total; 0.68 (1.00) male at birth; 0.63 (0.95) female at birth

When controlled for age, there was not a significant difference in mean height velocity between transgender youth and prepubertal youth (comparison group);

Author, Year (ref) Title Country	Nokoff et al 2020 (21) Body Composition and Markers of Cardiometabolic Health in Transgender Youth Compared With Cisgender Youth USA
Study design	Cross-sectional study, controlled, 2016-2019
POPULATION (ages) Age at Tx start Age in cohort Tanner stage	Age at start of GnRH (mean ± SD): 12.1 ± 1.9 years transgender males 12.8 ± 1.3 years transgender females Age in cohort (mean ± SD): 13.8 ± 1.7 years (range 10.1–16.0) transgender males 13.7 ± 1.2 years (range 12.6–16.1) transgender females Comparator groups: 10.6–16.2 years cisgender females 12.5–15.5 years cisgender males
POPULATION (n) n patients natal male (M-t-F) natal female (F-t-M)	17 youth 9 transgender males on GnRHa 8 transgender females on GnRHa Comparator groups: 31 youth 14 cisgender females 17 cisgender males Exclusions: Significant medical or psychiatric comorbidities (incl. diabetes or antipsychotic treatment)
INTERVENTION (type) Puberty suppression (GnRH) Cross-sex hormone treatment (CSHT)	GnRH: Drug, dose and frequency not reported.
INTERVENTION (time) Treatment duration Follow-up time, Follow-up age	GnRHa duration (mean ± SD): 20.9 ± 19.8 months transgender males (range 17.5-70.4 months) 11.3 ± 7 months transgender females (range 4.7-24.2 months)
OUTCOMES - All reported outcomes	insulin sensitivity and body composition insulin sensitivity (1/ (fasting insulin), homeostatic model of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR)), glycemia (hemoglobin A1C (HbA1c), fasting glucose), BMI, body mass index BP, blood pressure AST, aspartate aminotransferase ALT, alanine aminotransferase HDL, high-density lipoprotein LDL, low-density lipoprotein SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin LH, luteinizing hormone FSH, follicle stimulating hormone estradiol testosterone
RESULTS Extracted outcomes	Transgender males vs cisgender females: 1/fasting insulin $(0,067 \pm 0,02 \text{ vs } 0,103 \pm 0,049 \text{ mL/}\mu\text{U})$ HOMA-IR $(3,7 \pm 1,7 \text{ vs } 2,3 \pm 1,1)$ fasting glucose $(89 \pm 4 \text{ vs } 79 \pm 13 \text{ mg/dL})$ HbA1c $(5.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ vs. } 5.2 \pm 0.2\%)$ percent body fat $(36 \pm 7 \text{ vs } 32 \pm 5\%)$ Transgender females vs cisgender males: 1/fasting insulin $(0,076 \pm 0,029 \text{ vs } 0,135 \pm 0,049 \text{ mL/}\mu\text{U})$ HOMA-IR $(3,5 \pm 1,4 \text{ vs } 2,2 \pm 1,3)$ HbA1c $(5.4 \pm 0.1\% \text{ vs } 5.1 \pm 0.2\%)$ percent body fat $(31 \pm 9 \text{ vs } 24 \pm 10\%)$

Table 4 Effects of cross-sex hormonal treatment started before age of 18 years without previous puberty suppression

puberty suppression		
Author, Year (ref)	Tack et al 2016 (22)	
Title	Consecutive lynestrenol and cross-sex hormone treatment in biological female adolescents with gender	
	dysphoria: a retrospective analysis.	
Country	Belgium	
Study design	Retrospective cohort study, 2010–2015	
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of lynestrenol:	
Age at Tx start	Min Tanner B4 (post menarche)	
Age in cohort	15 years and 10 months (mean)	
Tanner stage		
	Age at start of testosterone:	
	17 years and 5 months (mean)	
POPULATION (n)	45 initials	
n patients	43 in cohort (F-t-M)	
natal male (M-t-F)		
natal female (F-t-M)	Of 45 subjects:	
	25 testosterones added later	
	11 psychiatric comorbidities (unspecified)	
	1 suicide during follow-up	
	1 did not consent use of data	
INTERVENTION (type)	Hormone treatment:	
Puberty suppression	Androgenic progestin: lynestrenol (L) (Orgametril®) monotherapy: dose not reported	
(GnRH)	Testosterone esters (Sustanon®): added from age 16:	
Cross-sex hormone	start at 50 mg (16 years) or 100 mg (17–19 years)/ 2 weeks (injection);	
treatment (CSHT)	incremental increases (+25 mg) up to 125 mg/2 weeks, up to 18 months.	
	Vitamin D and calcium supplements	
	Psychiatric intervention:	
	During treatment, patients seen every 3 months by the team child psychologist.	
	In the absence of psychiatric comorbidity, evaluated twice by the team child psychiatrist during this	
	phase; once before initiation of lynestrenol and once more at start of lynesterol + testosterone.	
	phase, once before mination of tyrication and once more at start of tyricateror it testosterone.	
INTERVENTION (time)	Treatment duration:	
Treatment duration	(min 6 months, up to 18 months)	
Follow-up time,	Mean 12.6 months Lynestrenol (L)	
Follow-up age	Mean 11.4 months Lynestrenol (L) + testosterone esters (T):	
OUTCOMES -	Anthropometry	
All reported outcomes	Safety parameters, side effects	
	Biochemical analysis: complete blood count, electrolytes, liver, and renal function,	
	fasting glucose, insulin, lipid metabolism	
	Hormone levels:	
	Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), free thyroxin (fT4),	
	luteinizing hormone (LH), follicular stimulating hormone (FSH),	
	estradiol (E2), total and free testosterone (T and free T),	
	sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH)	
DECLUTE	At start of lungstronal / at 12 months of L / at start of tastastarans / at 12 months of T	
RESULTS Extracted outcomes	At start of lynestrenol / at 12 months of L / at start of testosterone / at 12 months of T	
Extracted outcomes	Mean height 164.6 / / / 167.6 /	
	Weight 61.48 / 61.03 / 58.65 / 65.10	
	BMI 22.58 / 22.39 / 20.69 / 23.26	
	Triglycerides (mmol/L) 0.838 / 0.661 / 0.651 / 1.394	
	Total cholesterol (mmol/l) 4.153 / 4.237 / 4.212 / 4.450	
	HDL (mmol/l) 1.481 / 1.017 / 1.098 / 1.085	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Side effects:	
	Metrorrhagia: in L+T long term	
	Acne: in L no increase, in L+T significant increase	
	Headaches: in L	
	Hot flushes: in L	
	Fatigue: in L+T	

Author, Year (ref)	Jarin et al 2017 (23)
Title	Cross-Sex Hormones and Metabolic Parameters in Adolescents With Gender Dysphoria
Connection	LICA
Country Study design	USA Retrespective cohort study 2008 2014
POPULATION (ages)	Retrospective, cohort study, 2008-2014 Age in cohort:
Age at Tx start	Range: 13 – 25 years
Age in cohort	Affirmed male:
Tanner stage	mean 16 years
	range 13 - 22
	Affirmed female:
	mean 18 years
	range 14 - 25
POPULATION (n)	161 adolescents:
n patients	72 affirmed males (FtM)
natal male (M-t-F)	44 affirmed females (MtF)
natal female (F-t-M)	7 affirmed males on GnRHa before treatment
	2 affirmed females on GnRHa before treatment
	2 affirmed males reported hormone use outside medical practice (street hormones)
	5 affirmed females reported exogenous street hormone use.
	Companyle iditaine
	Comorbidities:
	35 depression
	11 anxiety 8 ADHD
	10 HIV
INTERVENTION	CSHT:
(type)	Testosterone (s.c.): 25 mg/ week, weekly doses of 25, 50, or 100 mg at subsequent visits.
Puberty suppression	Oestrogen (± testosterone blocker spironolactone):
(GnRH)	orally at 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 mg daily; or
Cross-sex hormone	intramuscularly at 20, 40, or 80 mg monthly; or
treatment (CSHT)	trans dermally at 0.025, 0.05, 0.100, or 0.200 mg weekly
,	
INTERVENTION	Follow-up time:
(time)	Up to 35 months.
Treatment duration	Follow-up groups:
Follow-up time,	1 to 3 months after initiation
Follow-up age	4 to 6 months after initiation
	6 months and beyond
OUTCOMES -	Body mass index (BMI)
All reported	Systolic blood pressure (SBP), Diastolic blood pressure (DBP)
outcomes	Hematokrit, Haemoglobin
	Total testosterone Estradiol
	Total cholesterol, Low density lipoprotein (LDL), High density lipoprotein (HDL),
	Triglycerides (TG)
	TG: HDL ratio
	Creatinine
	Prolactin
	Aspartate aminotransferase, (AST), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)
	HbA1c
RESULTS	Affirmed male (FtM):
Extracted outcomes	Affirmed male (FtM): BMI: increased at 6 months (from 26.0 to 27.3)
	DBP: reduced at 6 months (from 71 to 67 mm Hg)
	Hematokrit: increased at 6 months (from 39.4% to 44.5%)
	2 subjects had supraphysiologic hematokrit levels (>50%) after 3 months of treatment,
	1 subject maintained elevated hematokrit levels after 6 and 9 months (51.0% and 52.7%)
	Haemoglobin: increased at 6 months.
	Cholesterol: nonsignificant increase at 6 months (nonsignificant), plateau after 3 months.
	(6 subjects had cholesterol levels >200 mg/dL).
	LDL: nonsignificant increase at 6 months, plateau after 3 months.
	HDL: level decreased at 6 months (from of 50.2 to 45.0 mg/dL).
	Affirmed female (MtF):
	No significant changes in any other parameter tested were found.
	No statistically significant difference in measured metabolic parameters among the various methods of
	oestrogen administration (patch, oral, or intramuscular).

Author, Year (ref)	Mullins et al 2021 (24)
Title	Thrombosis Risk in Transgender Adolescents Receiving Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy.
Country	USA
Study design	Retrospective chart review, 2013 - 2019
POPULATION (ages)	Age at start of CSHT:
Age at Tx start	range 13 - 24 years
Age in cohort	17 years (IQR 15–19) total cohort
Tanner stage	18 years (IQR 15.5–20) estrogen
	17 years (IQR 15–19) testosterone
POPULATION (n)	611 participants
n patients natal male (M-t-F)	428 female at birth 183 male at birth
natal female (F-t-M)	103 male at bil til
INTERVENTION	Estrogen: 4.0 mg (2.0–6.0mg): oral (90.7%), transdermal (5.5%), intramuscular (3.8%)
(type)	Testosterone: 70.0 mg (60.0–80.0) s.c (72.7%), i.m. (24.4%), gel (2.8%), transdermal (0.7%)
Puberty suppression (GnRH)	Previous hormones used (%): Norethindrone contraceptive pill (24.2%)
Cross-sex hormone	Depo-medroxyprogesterone acetate (18.5%)
treatment (CSHT)	Combined oral contraceptive pill (5.7%)
, ,	Norethindrone acetate (2.5%)
	LNG-IUS (2.5%)
	Etonogestrel implant (0.3%)
INTERVENTION	Treatment duration, days (median, IQR):
(time)	554 days (283.0–1037.5) estrogen
Treatment duration	577 days (283.0–923.0) testosterone
Follow-up time,	
Follow-up age	
OUTCOMES -	Incidence of arterial or venous thrombosis during GAHT
All reported outcomes	Prevalence of thrombosis risk factors, risk factors for thrombosis (migraine with aura, elevated BMI, tobacco use, medical diagnoses associated with increased risk of thrombosis, family history of thrombosis
outcomes	(arterial or venous) and laboratory measures of risk factors for thrombosis)
	testosterone and estradiol levels
	complete blood counts
	coagulation testing result
	thrombophilia evaluation
	arterial or venous thrombosis
	therapeutic anticoagulation treatment
	prophylactic anticoagulation treatment concurrent with CSHT
	duration of anticoagulation treatment
RESULTS	Hematologic Evaluation and Incidence of Thrombosis
Extracted outcomes	17 (2.8%) referred to haematology
	Thrombophilia evaluation:
	4 (23.5%) elevated factor VIII (>150%)
	10 (2.0%) erythrocytosis (>17.7 g/dL)
	1 (6.3%) activated protein C resistance ratio (<0.78)
	5 (31.3%) PAI-1 (<16.3 IU/mL)
	2 (11.8%) Factor V Leiden heterozygous
	2 (12.5%) prothrombin G20210A heterozygous 3 (21.4%) MTHFR 677 homozygous
	5 (35.7%) PAI-1 4G homozygous
	2 (20.0%) elevated homocysteine (>10.7 μmol/L)
	Thromboprophylaxis before GAHT:
	5 (0.8%) Overall cohort
	2 (0.3%) History of thrombosis before GAHT
	3 (0.5%) No history of thrombosis before GAHT
	0 Thrombosis on GAHT
	Multiple thrombotic risk factors were noted among the cohort, including
	obesity, tobacco use, and personal and family history of thrombosis.
	PMI modian IOP: 26.0 (22.1–22.0)
	BMI median IQR: 26.0 (22.1–32.0) 40 (6.5%) BMI <18.5
	212 (34.7%) BMI 18.5–25
	148 (24.2%) BMI 25–30
	211 (34.5%) BMI >30

 $\textbf{Table 5}. \ \ \textbf{Studies investigating discontinuation of treatment and regret in adolescents with}$

gender dysphoria

Author,	Inclusion	Population	Treatment	Follow-up	Follow-up	Regret
Year Country	period			method	time	
Pullen Sansfaçon et al 2019 (25) Canada	November 2017 – August 2018	35 trans and gender diverse young people aged 9 to17 years	Puberty blockers, hormone therapy, surgery	Semi- structured interviews	Follow-up- time not reported	0/35
Segev-Becker et al 2020 (26) Israel	March 2013 – January 2019	106 (10 prepubertal) consecutive children and adolescents with gender dysphoria, aged <18 years	77 (80%) pubertal patients began GnRH. 61 of these (83%) started gender affirming treatment	Chart review	Median 1.2 years (range, 0 to 5.1 years)	2/96 (pubertal at start) 16/77 (21%) on GnRH did not start gender affirming treatment
Cohen- Kettenis et al 1997 (27) The Netherlands	Time period not given	22 patients (15 FtM, 7 MtF) Mean age at pretest: 17.5 years (range 15-20) Mean age at follow-up: 22.0 years (range 19-27) Post-treatment sample: 14 FtM, 5 MtF	Surgically reassigned (various procedures)	Questionnaire s and interview	1 year or more	0/19
Olson- Kennedy et al 2018 (28) USA	June – December 2016	68 FtM undergoing chest surgery Mean age 18.9 (SD 2.5) (range 14–25)	Chest surgery	Chest dysphoria score,	1–5 years after surgery	1/68
Smith et al 2001 (29) The Netherlands	Follow-up interviews from March 1995 until July 1999	Prospective 20 treated adolescent transsexuals Mean age at pretest 16.6 years (range15–19) Mean age at follow-up 21.0 years (range 19–23)	Surgical reassignment Not specified	Semi- structured interview	1–4 years post- surgery	0/20
Mehringer et al 2021 (30) USA	Not given	30 transmasculine 13 to 21 years mean age 17.5 (14-21) 14 had undergone chest surgery. Mean age 16.4 years	Chest surgery/ dysphoria	Interview transcripts coded employing modified grounded theory	19 (6–48) months after surgery	O/14 All post-surgery youth reported near or total resolution of chest dysphoria, lack of regret, improved quality of life and functioning
Nieder et al 2021 (31) Germany	Sept 2013 – June 2017	75 11-21 years	Varying, hormones, various surgery	Clinical follow-up	2 years	0/75
Carmichael et al 2021 (5) The UK	April 2011 – April 2014	44 25 trans women 19 trans male 11-15 years	GnRH	Clinical follow-up	Median 31 months	No data on regret 1/44 did not start gender affirming treatment
Littman 2021 (32) USA	Dec 2016 – April 2017	100 detransitioners, mean age at detransition 26 years Mean age at transition	Varying gender affirming treatments	Open survey over Internet		

References

- 1. de Vries AL, McGuire JK, Steensma TD, Wagenaar EC, Doreleijers TA, Cohen-Kettenis PT. Young adult psychological outcome after puberty suppression and gender reassignment. Pediatrics. 2014;134:696-704.
- 2. Costa R, Dunsford M, Skagerberg E, Holt V, Carmichael P, Colizzi M. Psychological Support, Puberty Suppression, and Psychosocial Functioning in Adolescents with Gender Dysphoria. J Sex Med. 2015;12:2206-14.
- 3. Becker-Hebly I, Fahrenkrug S, Campion F, Richter-Appelt H, Schulte-Markwort M, Barkmann C. Psychosocial health in adolescents and young adults with gender dysphoria before and after genderaffirming medical interventions: a descriptive study from the Hamburg Gender Identity Service. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2021;30:1755–1767
- 4. Cantu AL, Moyer, D.N., Connely, K.J., Holley, A.L. Changes in Anxiety and Depression from Intake to First Follow-Up Among Transgender Youth in a Pediatric Endocrinology Clinic. Trangender Health. 2020;5: 196–200
- 5. Carmichael P, Butler G, Masic U, Cole TJ, De Stavola BL, Davidson S, et al. Short-term outcomes of pubertal suppression in a selected cohort of 12 to 15 year old young people with persistent gender dysphoria in the UK. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0243894.
- 6. Hisle-Gorman E, Schvey NA, Adirim TA, Rayne AK, Susi A, Roberts TA, et al. Mental Healthcare Utilization of Transgender Youth Before and After Affirming Treatment. J Sex Med. 2021;18:1444-54.
- 7. Staphorsius AS, Kreukels BP, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Veltman DJ, Burke SM, Schagen SE, et al. Puberty suppression and executive functioning: An fMRI-study in adolescents with gender dysphoria. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015;56:190-9.
- 8. Joseph T, Ting J, Butler G. The effect of GnRH analogue treatment on bone mineral density in young adolescents with gender dysphoria: findings from a large national cohort. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2019;32:1077-81.
- 9. Klink D, Caris M, Heijboer A, van Trotsenburg M, Rotteveel J. Bone mass in young adulthood following gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog treatment and cross-sex hormone treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100:E270-5.
- 10. Vlot MC, Klink DT, den Heijer M, Blankenstein MA, Rotteveel J, Heijboer AC. Effect of pubertal suppression and cross-sex hormone therapy on bone turnover markers and bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) in transgender adolescents. Bone. 2017;95:11-9.
- 11. Schagen SEE, Wouters FM, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Gooren LJ, Hannema SE. Bone Development in Transgender Adolescents Treated With GnRH Analogues and Subsequent Gender-Affirming Hormones. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020;105(12).
- 12. Stoffers IE, de Vries MC, Hannema SE. Physical changes, laboratory parameters, and bone mineral density during testosterone treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria. J Sex Med. 2019;16(9):1459-68.
- 13. Navabi B, Tang K, Khatchadourian K, Lawson ML. Pubertal Suppression, Bone Mass, and Body Composition in Youth With Gender Dysphoria. Pediatrics. 2021;148(4).
- 14.van der Loos MA, Hellinga I, Vlot MC, Klink DT, den Heijer M, Wiepjes CM. Development of Hip Bone Geometry During Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy in Transgender Adolescents Resembles That of the Experienced Gender When Pubertal Suspension Is Started in Early Puberty. J Bone Miner Res. 2021;36(5):931-41.
- 15.Lee JY, Finlayson C, Olson-Kennedy J, Garofalo R, Chan YM, Glidden DV, et al. Low Bone Mineral Density in Early Pubertal Transgender/Gender Diverse Youth: Findings From the Trans Youth Care Study. J Endocr Soc. 2020;4(9):bvaa065.
- 16. Schagen SE, Cohen-Kettenis PT, Delemarre-van de Waal HA, Hannema SE. Efficacy and Safety of Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonist Treatment to Suppress Puberty in Gender Dysphoric Adolescents. J Sex Med. 2016;13(7):1125-32.

- 17.Klaver M, de Mutsert R, Wiepjes CM, Twisk JWR, den Heijer M, Rotteveel J, et al. Early Hormonal Treatment Affects Body Composition and Body Shape in Young Transgender Adolescents. J Sex Med. 2018;15(2):251-60.
- 18.Klaver M, de Mutsert R, van der Loos M, Wiepjes CM, Twisk JWR, den Heijer M, et al. Hormonal Treatment and Cardiovascular Risk Profile in Transgender Adolescents. Pediatrics. 2020;145(3).
- 19.Perl L, Perl, Anat Segev-Becker, Galit Israeli, Erella Elkon-Tamir, Asaf Oren. Blood Pressure Dynamics After Pubertal Suppression with Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Analogs Followed by Testosterone Treatment in Transgender Male Adolescents: A Pilot Study. LGBT Health Aug/Sep 2020;7(6):340-344.
- 20. Schulmeister C, Millington K, Kaufman M, Finlayson C, Kennedy JO, Garofalo R, et al. Growth in Transgender/Gender-Diverse Youth in the First Year of Treatment With Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists. J Adolesc Health. 2021.
- 21. Nokoff NJ, Scarbro SL, Moreau KL, Zeitler P, Nadeau KJ, Juarez-Colunga E, et al. Body Composition and Markers of Cardiometabolic Health in Transgender Youth Compared With Cisgender Youth. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020;105(3):e704-14.
- 22. Tack LJ, Craen M, Dhondt K, Vanden Bossche H, Laridaen J, Cools M. Consecutive lynestrenol and cross-sex hormone treatment in biological female adolescents with gender dysphoria: a retrospective analysis. Biol Sex Differ. 2016;7:14.
- 23. Jarin J, Pine-Twaddell E, Trotman G, Stevens J, Conard LA, Tefera E, et al. Cross-Sex Hormones and Metabolic Parameters in Adolescents With Gender Dysphoria. Pediatrics. 2017;139(5).
- 24. Mullins ES, Geer R, Metcalf M, Piccola J, Lane A, Conard LAE, et al. Thrombosis Risk in Transgender Adolescents Receiving Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy. Pediatrics. 2021;147(4).
- 25. Pullen Sansfaçon A, Temple-Newhook J, Suerich-Gulick F, Feder S, Lawson ML, Ducharme J, et al. The experiences of gender diverse and trans children and youth considering and initiating medical interventions in Canadian gender-affirming speciality clinics. International Journal of Transgenderism. 2019;20:371-87.
- 26. Segev-Becker A, Israeli G, Elkon-Tamir E, Perl L, Sekler O, Amir H, et al. Children and Adolescents with Gender Dysphoria in Israel: Increasing Referral and Fertility Preservation Rates. Endocr Pract. 2020;26:423-8.
- 27. Cohen-Kettenis PT, van Goozen SH. Sex reassignment of adolescent transsexuals: a follow-up study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997;36:263-71.
- 28.Olson-Kennedy J, Warus J, Okonta V, Belzer M, Clark LF. Chest Reconstruction and Chest Dysphoria in Transmasculine Minors and Young Adults: Comparisons of Nonsurgical and Postsurgical Cohorts. JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172:431-6.
- 29. Smith YL, van Goozen SH, Cohen-Kettenis PT. Adolescents with gender identity disorder who were accepted or rejected for sex reassignment surgery: a prospective follow-up study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001;40:472-81.
- 30.Mehringer JE, Harrison JB, Quain KM, Shea JA, Hawkins LA, Dowshen NL. Experience of Chest Dysphoria and Masculinizing Chest Surgery in Transmasculine Youth. Pediatrics. 2021;147. e2020013300
- 31.Nieder TO, Mayer TK, Hinz S, Fahrenkrug S, Herrmann L, Becker-Hebly I. Individual Treatment Progress Predicts Satisfaction With Transition-Related Care for Youth With Gender Dysphoria: A Prospective Clinical Cohort Study. J Sex Med. 2021;18:632-45.
- 32.Littman L. Individuals Treated for Gender Dysphoria with Medical and/or Surgical Transition Who Subsequently Detransitioned: A Survey of 100 Detransitioners. Arch Sex Behav. 2021;50:3353-69.